_{50m} 990

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

20**04**

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except black lung benefit trust or private foundation)

▶ The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy state reporting requirements.

Open to Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury internal Revenue Service

, 20 For the 2004 calendar year, or tax year beginning 2004, and ending D Employer identification number C Name of organization Please B Check if applicable: use IRS 62:1802858 Harpeth River Watershed Association, Inc. Address change label o E Telephone number Number and street (or P.O. box if mail is not delivered to street address) Room/suite print or Name change PO Box 1127 (615)790-9767 Initial return City or town, state or country, and ZIP + 4 F Accounting method: Cash Accrual Final return Instruc Franklin, TN 37065 ☐ Other (specify) ▶ Amended return H and I are not applicable to section 527 organizations. • Section 501(c)(3) organizations and 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable Application pending trusts must attach a completed Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ). H(b) If "Yes," enter number of affiliates ▶ G Website: ▶ H(c) Are all affiliates included? Yes No J Organization type (check only one) ► ☑ 501(c) (3) < (insert no.) ☐ 4947(a)(1) or ☐ 527 (If "No," attach a list. See instructions.) H(d) Is this a separate return filed by an K Check here ▶ ☐ if the organization's gross receipts are normally not more than \$25,000. The organization need not file a return with the IRS; but if the organization received a Form 990 Package in the mail, it should file a return without financial data. Some states require a complete return. Group Exemption Number ▶ Check ▶ ☐ if the organization is not required to attach Sch. B (Form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF). Gross receipts: Add lines 6b, 8b, 9b, and 10b to line 12 ▶ Revenue, Expenses, and Changes in Net Assets or Fund Balances (See page 18 of the instructions.) Contributions, gifts, grants, and similar amounts received: 207.044 1a 1b **b** Indirect public support 85,217 1c c Government contributions (grants) 292,261 __ noncash \$ _ d Total (add lines 1a through 1c) (cash \$ _____ 17.122 2 Program service revenue including government fees and contracts (from Part VII, line 93) 2 3 3 4 6 Interest on savings and temporary cash investments 5 5 Dividends and interest from securities . . . 6a 6b 6с c Net rental income or (loss) (subtract line 6b from line 6a) . . 7 Other investment income (describe ▶ (B) Other (A) Securities 8a Gross amount from sales of assets other 8a 8b b Less: cost or other basis and sales expenses. 8c c Gain or (loss) (attach schedule) 8d Special events and activities (attach schedule). If any amount is from gaming, check here ▶ □ a Gross revenue (not including \$ __ contributions reported on line 1a) b Less: direct expenses other than fundraising expenses 90 c Net income or (loss) from special events (subtract line 9b from line 9a) . . |10a 10a Gross sales of inventory, less returns and allowances . . b Less: cost of goods sold 10c 1,266 Gross profit or (loss) from sales of inventory (attach schedule) (subtract line 10b from line 10a). С 11 11 310,655 Total revenue (add lines 1d, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6c, 7, 8d, 9c, 10c, and 11) 12 12 227.442 13 13 Program services (from line 44, column (B)) 18,017 14 Management and general (from line 44, column (C)) 14 15 40,849 15 Fundraising (from line 44, column (D)) 16 16 Payments to affiliates (attach schedule) . . . 286.308 Total expenses (add lines 16 and 44, column (A)) 17 17 24,347 18 18 Excess or (deficit) for the year (subtract line 17 from line 12) 19 28,900 19 Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (from line 73, column (A)) . . . (2,173)20 Other changes in net assets or fund balances (attach explanation). 20 Š 51,074 Net assets or fund balances at end of year (combine lines 18, 19, and 20)

Page 2 Form 990 (2004) All organizations must complete column (A). Columns (B), (C), and (D) are required for section 501(c)(3) and (4) organizations Part II Statement of **Functional Expenses** and section 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trusts but optional for others. (See page 22 of the instructions.) Do not include amounts reported on line (B) Program (C) Management (A) Total (D) Fundraising 6b, 8b, 9b, 10b, or 16 of Part I. services and general 22 Grants and allocations (attach schedule) . (cash \$ _____ noncash \$ _ 23 Specific assistance to individuals (attach schedule) 23 24 Benefits paid to or for members (attach schedule), 24 43,761 33,894 6,490 25 3,377 Compensation of officers, directors, etc. . . 25 26 27,492 17,532 4,282 5,678 26 Other salaries and wages 27 Pension plan contributions 27 28 Other employee benefits 28 6,222 4,490 941 791 29 29 Payroll taxes 30 30 Professional fundraising fees 31 591 591 31 Accounting fees 32 Legal fees , 32 14,514 33 14,514 33 34 34 Telephone 35 35 Postage and shipping 12,720 12,720 36 36 Occupancy 37 37 Equipment rental and maintenance. . 38 38 Printing and publications . . . 7,434 7,434 39 39 18,563 12,510 6,053 40 40 Conferences, conventions, and meetings 41 41 2,136 2,136 42 42 Depreciation, depletion, etc. (attach schedule) 348 348 43a Other expenses not covered above (itemize): a Fees 43 145,459 119,383 2,604 23,472 Contract Labor/Consultants 43b b 1,860 1,860 Insurance 43c C 5.208 3,105 625 1,478 43d Misc 4<u>3e</u> Total functional expenses (add lines 22 through 43). Organizations 286,308 227,442 18,017 completing columns (B)-(D), carry these totals to lines 13-15. 40,849 44 Joint Costs. Check ▶ ☐ if you are following SOP 98-2. Are any joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation reported in (B) Program services? . \blacktriangleright \square Yes \bowtie No If "Yes," enter (i) the aggregate amount of these joint costs \$___ _; (ii) the amount allocated to Program services \$_ (iii) the amount allocated to Management and general \$; and (iv) the amount allocated to Fundraising \$ Part III Statement of Program Service Accomplishments (See page 25 of the instructions.) What is the organization's primary exempt purpose? ▶To protect and restore the Harpeth River Watershed Program Service Expenses All organizations must describe their exempt purpose achievements in a clear and concise manner. State the number (Required for 501(c)(3) and (4) orgs., and 4947(a)(1) trusts: but optional for of clients served, publications issued, etc. Discuss achievements that are not measurable. (Section 501(c)(3) and (4) organizations and 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trusts must also enter the amount of grants and allocations to others.) others.) (Grants and allocations (Grants and allocations

> (Grants and allocations (Grants and allocations

Total of Program Service Expenses (should equal line 44, column (B), Program services).

e Other program services (attach schedule)

\$

227,442

. ▶

Part IV Balance Sheets (See page 25 of the instructions.)

N	ote:	Where required, attached schedules and amounts within the description column should be for end-of-year amounts only.	(A) Beginning of year		(B) End of year
	45 46	Cash—non-interest-bearing	t e	45 46	57,286
		Accounts receivable		47c	
		Pledges receivable		48c	
	49 50	Grants receivable	1	49	11,729
		(attach schedule)		50	
Assets		schedule)		51c	
Ř	52 53	Inventories for sale or use		53	
	54 55a	Investments—securities (attach schedule) Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost Cost	FMV	54	
	b	Less: accumulated depreciation (attach schedule)		55c	
	1		i,254	56	
	b 58	Less: accumulated depreciation (attach schedule)	4,309 10,270 -)	57c 58	6,945
	59	Total assets (add lines 45 through 58) (must equal line 74)	. 40,961	59	75,960
	60 61	Accounts payable and accrued expenses		61	24,886
ities	62 63	Deferred revenue	ach	62 63	
Liabilities	1	Tax-exempt bond liabilities (attach schedule)	.	64a 64b	
	65	Other liabilities (describe	12.004	65	24,000
	66 Org	Total liabilities (add lines 60 through 65)		66	24,886
ances	67 68	67 through 69 and lines 73 and 74. Unrestricted	. 28,900	67 68	29,294 21,780
d Bala	69	Permanently restricted		69	
or Fund Balances	70	complete lines 70 through 74. Capital stock, trust principal, or current funds		70	
Net Assets	71 72	Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building, and equipment fund Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated income, or other fur		71 72	
Net A	73	Total net assets or fund balances (add lines 67 through 69 or line 70 through 72; column (A) must equal line 19; column (B) must equal line 21).	nes	73	51,074
	74	Total liabilities and net assets / fund balances (add lines 66 and		+	75,960

Form 990 is available for public inspection and, for some people, serves as the primary or sole source of information about a particular organization. How the public perceives an organization in such cases may be determined by the information presented on its return. Therefore, please make sure the return is complete and accurate and fully describes, in Part III, the organization's programs and accomplishments.

Par	t IV-A		liation of Revenu I Statements witl				Part		econciliation o nancial Staten			
			See page 27 of th						eturn	***	व्यवस्था	•
a			and other support statements . >	а	3	10,655	а	•	enses and lo ancial statemen	•	а	286,308
b	•	included o	n line a but not on			i,	b		ncluded on line			
(1)		lized gains	\$				(1)	Donated and use of				
(2)		services of facilities		*			(2)	Prior year ad reported on				
(3)	Recoverie year gran	es of prior	\$				(3)	Form 990. Losses rep	<u>\$</u>			
(4)	Other (sp	ecify):		#				line 20, For				
			\$				(4)	Other (spe				
	Add amou	unts on line	es (1) through (4) >	b					<u>\$</u>			
	1 !		_	c	3	310,655			nts on lines (1) th	•	b	286,308
c d		inus line b included (n line 12.				d		us line b ncluded on line	• •		200,000
_		but not c							but not on line		100	
(1)		t expenses					(1)	Investment	•			1.04
		led on line 990	_					not include 6b, Form 99			4	
(2)	Other (sp		·				(2)	Other (spe			180	
					1 11				e		*	
	Add amo	unts on lin	es (1) and (2)	d				Add amou	nts on lines (1)	and (2) >	d	
е			ine 12, Form 990	۳			e		nses per line 17,			
	(line c plu	us line d).	<u> , , ▶</u>	е		310,655		(line c plus	s line d) .	<u>. , , ▶</u>	е	286,308
Pa		st of Office instruction	ers, Directors, T	ruste	ees, an	d Key I	Emplo	yees (List e	each one even if	not compen	sated	l; see page 27 o
	· · ·		ne and address			(B) Title a	and avera	age hours per to position	(C) Compensation (If not paid, enter	(D) Contribution employee benefit deferred compen	plans &	(E) Expense account and other allowances
See	attached	list				-			-0-1/	Centries Compen	SELANI	anowarices
	ene Bolze Deerfield		nklin, TN 37065			Executi	ive Dir	ector, 40	43,761		0	C
							-					
			•••••									
	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••									
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •										
		•••••		· · · · · ·								
75	organizati	on and all r	tor, trustee, or key e elated organizations, redule—see page 2	of wh	nich more	e than \$1	0,000 v					☐ Yes 🗹 No

Form	990 (2004)			P	age 5
	t VI Other Information (See page 28 of the instructions.)			Yes	No
76	Did the organization engage in any activity not previously reported to the IRS? If "Yes," attach a detailed	description of each activity.	76		~
77	Were any changes made in the organizing or governing documents but not report		77		~
• •	If "Yes," attach a conformed copy of the changes.		11420		
78a					
	If "Yes," has it filed a tax return on Form 990-T for this year?		78b		
79	Was there a liquidation, dissolution, termination, or substantial contraction during the year?	f "Yes," attach a statement	79	2817.07	/
80a	Is the organization related (other than by association with a statewide or nationwide orga	nization) through common	30.00		
	membership, governing bodies, trustees, officers, etc., to any other exempt or nonexe	mpt organization?	80a	2822	V
b	If "Yes," enter the name of the organization ▶		70 (S)		
	and check whether it is exer	1 4 4 1			
	Enter direct and indirect political expenditures. See line 81 instructions	81a	1880		
	Did the organization file Form 1120-POL for this year?		81b	ļ	-
82a	Did the organization receive donated services or the use of materials, equipment, or at substantially less than fair rental value?	or facilities at no charge	82a	Emiliarii:	~
b	If "Yes," you may indicate the value of these items here. Do not include this amount as revenue in Part I or as an expense in Part II. (See instructions in Part III.).	82b	•		
83a	Did the organization comply with the public inspection requirements for returns and	exemption applications?	83a	~	
	Did the organization comply with the disclosure requirements relating to quid pro		83b	~	
	Did the organization solicit any contributions or gifts that were not tax deductible	-	84a		~
b	If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement or gifts were not tax deductible?	that such contributions	84b		4.
85	501(c)(4), (5), or (6) organizations. a Were substantially all dues nondeductible by members	ers?	85a		
	Did the organization make only in-house lobbying expenditures of \$2,000 or less		85b		
	If "Yes" was answered to either 85a or 85b, do not complete 85c through 85h below				- The state of the
	received a waiver for proxy tax owed for the prior year.	-			
C	Dues, assessments, and similar amounts from members	85c		3.0 6.0	
d	Section 162(e) lobbying and political expenditures	85d			
е	Aggregate nondeductible amount of section 6033(e)(1)(A) dues notices	85e		è	
f	Taxable amount of lobbying and political expenditures (line 85d less 85e)	85f			
g	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		85g	ļ	
h	If section 6033(e)(1)(A) dues notices were sent, does the organization agree to add the reasonable estimate of dues allocable to nondeductible lobbying and political expend year?		85h		
86	501(c)(7) orgs. Enter: a Initiation fees and capital contributions included on line 12.	86a		7.38	- To
	Gross receipts, included on line 12, for public use of club facilities	86b		i.	
87	501(c)(12) orgs. Enter: a Gross income from members or shareholders	87a			
	Gross income from other sources. (Do not net amounts due or paid to other				3
~	sources against amounts due or received from them.)	87b		**	
88	At any time during the year, did the organization own a 50% or greater interest in partnership, or an entity disregarded as separate from the organization und 301.7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete Part IX	er Regulations sections	88		~
89a	501(c)(3) organizations. Enter: Amount of tax imposed on the organization during section 4911 ▶	the year under:			***
b	501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) orgs. Did the organization engage in any section 4958 exduring the year or did it become aware of an excess benefit transaction from a page.	cess benefit transaction rior year? If "Yes," attach	89b	1	~
	a statement explaining each transaction		เลย	1	
	Enter: Amount of tax imposed on the organization managers or disqualified persor sections 4912, 4955, and 4958	> _			0
	Enter: Amount of tax on line 89c, above, reimbursed by the organization				
	· ·	instructions \ 90b	• • • • •		
	Number of employees employed in the pay period that includes March 12, 2004 (See The books are in care of ▶ Dorene Bolze	manachons.	90-97	67	
91	The books are in care of ▶ Dorene Bolze Located at ▶ 201 Deerfield Lane, Franklin, TN	reiepnone no. ► \	069		
92	Section 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trusts filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 10	CIF T 4 P			▶ □
	and enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the tax		• •	•	

	M Ameliate at the Point of the	-Atuitate (O -	00 -f +L '			
Part '			age 33 of the l	T		/E)
	Enter gross amounts unless otherwise		T		tion 512, 513, or 514	(E) Related or
indica		(A) Business code	(B) Amount	(C) Exclusion code	(D) Amount	exempt function income
	Consulting Income					17,122
a .			 	<u> </u>		17,122
b.			 	 		
C.				 		
d.						
e.	Madiene Madienia normante					
	Medicare/Medicaid payments	1	1	 		
_	Fees and contracts from government agencie			·		
	Membership dues and assessments			14	6	
	Interest on savings and temporary cash investmen Dividends and interest from securities	15	<u> </u>			
	Dividends and interest from securities					
	debt-financed property					
	not debt-financed property					
	Net rental income or (loss) from personal propert	1				
	Other investment income	·				
	Gain or (loss) from sales of assets other than invento	l l		1		
	Net income or (loss) from special events	,				
	Gross profit or (loss) from sales of inventory			05	1,266	
	Other revenue: a					
b						
С						
d						
е				NOT TO USE OUT TO SECRETARISH		
	Subtotal (add columns (B), (D), and (E))				1,272	17.122
105	Total (add line 104, columns (B), (D), and (E))			· ·	18,394
	Line 105 plus line 1d, Part I, should equal th					
Part						
Line	No. Explain how each activity for which incom of the organization's exempt purposes (of				nportantly to the a	accomplishment
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
938	Consulted with a state of Tennessee	contractor regar	uing water quai	ity issues	-44.0	
Part	Information Regarding Taxable Sub	sidiaries and Dis	regarded Entition	es (See page	34 of the instru	ctions)
	(A)	(B)	(C)	oo (ooo pago	(D)	(E)
	Name, address, and EIN of corporation, partnership, or disregarded entity	Percentage of ownership interest	Nature of a	ctivities	Total income	End-of-year assets
N/A	parate only of distinguises of the	%				assets
		%				
		%				
		%				
Part	X Information Regarding Transfers Ass	ociated with Pers	onal Benefit Con	tracts (See p	age 34 of the ins	tructions.)
(a)	Did the organization, during the year, receive any funds,	directly or indirectly. I	o nav memiums on a	nersonal benefit	t contract?	☐ Yes ☑ No
	Did the organization, during the year, pay pr					☐ Yes ☑ No
	: If "Yes" to (b), file Form 8870 and Form			•		
	Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have exar	mined this return, include	ding accompanying s	chedules and sta	tements, and to the b	est of my knowledge
Pleas	and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Declare	ation of preparer (other	than officer) is base	d on all informati	on of which prepare	r has any knowledge
Sign	Lonene (1 400				Cing 15, 2	005
Here	Signature of officer		S /		Date	
	Dorene A	1120	Exe cuti	ue U	cector.	
	Type or print name and title.			Tol1 ''		
Paid	Preparer's signature		Date,	Check if self-		r PTiN (See Gen. Inst. W
Prepare	r's signature Firm's name (or yours Richard Fridge (NDA	105	employed ►		
Use Onl	y if self-employed),		TN 27242	EIN	<u>► ;</u> e no. ► (615) 38	22 7747
	address, and ZIP + 4 / 190/ 21st Avenue	Journ, Nashvill	E, IN SIZIZ	Phone	9 no. 🟲 (010) 30	93-1111

SCHEDULE A (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Organization Exempt Under Section 501(c)(3)

(Except Private Foundation) and Section 501(e), 501(f), 501(k), 501(n), or Section 4947(a)(1) Nonexempt Charitable Trust

Supplementary Information—(See separate instructions.)

OMB No. 1545-0047

Department of the Treasury

▶ MUST be completed by the above organizations and attached to their Form 990 or 990-EZ Internal Revenue Service Employer identification number Name of the organization Harpeth River Watershed Association, Inc. 62 1802858 Compensation of the Five Highest Paid Employees Other Than Officers, Directors, and Trustees (See page 1 of the instructions. List each one. If there are none, enter "None.") (d) Contributions to (e) Expense (b) Title and average hours per week devoted to position (a) Name and address of each employee paid more (c) Compensation nployee benefit plans & count and other than \$50,000 deferred compensation allowances None Total number of other employees paid over \$50,000 Part II Compensation of the Five Highest Paid Independent Contractors for Professional Services (See page 2 of the instructions. List each one (whether individuals or firms). If there are none, enter "None.") (a) Name and address of each independent contractor paid more than \$50,000 (b) Type of service (c) Compensation Scientific Consultant John McFadden 50,904 688 Speck Road, Lebanon, TN 37087

Total number of others receiving over \$50,000 for

professional services.

_			•
۲a	а	е	4

Par	t III	Statements About Activities (See page 2 of the instructions.)		Yes	No
1	attem or inc	g the year, has the organization attempted to influence national, state, or local legislation, including a pt to influence public opinion on a legislative matter or referendum? If "Yes," enter the total expenses purred in connection with the lobbying activities *	aid		V
	organ	nizations that made an election under section 501(h) by filing Form 5768 must complete Part VI-A. Ot izations checking "Yes" must complete Part VI-B AND attach a statement giving a detailed description bbying activities.	2:363:329		
2	subst with a owner	g the year, has the organization, either directly or indirectly, engaged in any of the following acts with a antial contributors, trustees, directors, officers, creators, key employees, or members of their families, any taxable organization with which any such person is affiliated as an officer, director, trustee, major, or principal beneficiary? (If the answer to any question is "Yes," attach a detailed statement explaining actions.)	or rity		
а	Sale,	exchange, or leasing of property?	. 2a		~
b	Lendi	ng of money or other extension of credit?		ļ	1
C		shing of goods, services, or facilities?		-	1
d	-	ent of compensation (or payment or reimbursement of expenses if more than \$1,000)?	I	-	7
е		fer of any part of its income or assets?		-	<u> </u>
3a		ou make grants for scholarships, fellowships, student loans, etc.? (If "Yes," attach an explanation of heletermine that recipients qualify to receive payments.)			1
b		bu have a section 403(b) annuity plan for your employees?		1	V
	•	ou maintain any separate account for participating donors where donors have the right to provide adv	l l		1
	on th	e use or distribution of funds?	. 4a		
b	Do yo	ou provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services?	. 4b		~
5 6 7 8 9	A A	church, convention of churches, or association of churches. Section 170(b)(1)(A)(i). school. Section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii). (Also complete Part V.) hospital or a cooperative hospital service organization. Section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii). Federal, state, or local government or governmental unit. Section 170(b)(1)(A)(v). medical research organization operated in conjunction with a hospital. Section 170(b)(1)(A)(iii). Enter the not state			
10	□ A	n organization operated for the benefit of a college or university owned or operated by a governmental unit.			
11a	_ 1	n organization that normally receives a substantial part of its support from a governmental unit or from the 70(b)(1)(A)(vi). (Also complete the Support Schedule in Part IV-A.)	general pub	olic, Se	ection
		community trust. Section 170(b)(1)(A)(vi). (Also complete the Support Schedule in Part IV-A.)			
12	re it:	n organization that normally receives: (1) more than 331/5% of its support from contributions, membeceipts from activities related to its charitable, etc., functions—subject to certain exceptions, and (2) ns support from gross investment income and unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 tax) from the organization after June 30, 1975. See section 509(a)(2). (Also complete the Support Schedule in	o more tha m business	n 33½	3% o
13	□ A	n organization that is not controlled by any disqualified persons (other than foundation managers) and escribed in: (1) lines 5 through 12 above; or (2) section 501(c)(4), (5), or (6), if they meet the test of ection 509(a)(3).)	supports o		
	_	Provide the following information about the supported organizations. (See page 5 of the instruc	tions.)		
	_	(a) Name(s) of supported organization(s)	from abov		
14	ПΛ	n organization organized and operated to test for public safety. Section 509(a)(4). (See page 5 of the in	etructions \		

	t IV-A Support Schedule (Complete only You may use the worksheet in the instructions					
	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2003	(b) 2002	(c) 2001	(d) 2000	(e) Total
15	Gifts, grants, and contributions received. (Do		,,		(1)	
	not include unusual grants. See line 28.).	97,739	89,927	52,845	2,91	19 243,430
16	Membership fees received					
17	Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise sold or services performed, or furnishing of facilities in any activity that is related to the organization's charitable, etc., purpose	6,595	9,990			16,585
18	Gross income from interest, dividends, amounts received from payments on securities loans (section 512(a)(5)), rents, royalties, and unrelated business taxable income (less section 511 taxes) from businesses acquired by the organization after June 30, 1975.		19	68		87
19	Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 18					
20	Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to it or expended on its behalf					
21	The value of services or facilities furnished to the organization by a governmental unit without charge. Do not include the value of services or facilities generally furnished to the public without charge.					
22	Other income. Attach a schedule. Do not					
	include gain or (loss) from sale of capital assets	14,706				14,706
23	Total of lines 15 through 22	119,040	99,936	52,913	<u> </u>	
24	Line 23 minus line 17	112,445	89,946	52,913	——————————————————————————————————————	PAYER SANDARAN A BUT PARTIES OF THE
25	Enter 1% of line 23	1,190	999	529	·	29
26 b	Organizations described on lines 10 or 11: Prepare a list for your records to show the nar governmental unit or publicly supported organizamount shown in line 26a. Do not file this list w	ne of and amoun zation) whose tota	t contributed by a al gifts for 2000 th	each person (other	er than a eded the	5b
С	Total support for section 509(a)(1) test: Enter li	ine 24, column (e)) .		▶ 26	oc .
d	Add: Amounts from column (e) for lines: 18 22		19 26b		▶ 26	5d
e f	Public support (line 26c minus line 26d total) Public support percentage (line 26e (numera	ator) divided by I	ine 26c (denomi	nator)) ,	► 26 ► 20	6f %
27	Organizations described on line 12: a For person," prepare a list for your records to show Do not file this list with your return. Enter the	the name of, and	total amounts red	ceived in each yea	vere received to ar from, each "o	irom a "disqualifiec disqualified person."
	(2003) 12,500 (2002)	18,12	5 (2001)	2,250	o (2000)	0
b		ived from each per year, that was mo 5 through 11, as v the larger amoun	rson (other than "core than the larger well as individuals.) t described in (1)	disqualified person of (1) the amount Do not file this li or (2), enter the s	s"), prepare a li on line 25 for the st with your re- um of these dif	st for your records to he year or (2) \$5,000 turn. After computing fferences (the excess
	(2003) (2002)		. (2001)		. (2000)	
С	Add: Amounts from column (e) for lines: 15 1716,585 20	243,430 0 and line 27b total	16 21	0	27	7c 260,015
d	Add: Line 27a total. 32,875	and line 27b tota	d4,	900		7d 37,775
е	Public support (line 27c total minus line 27d to	otal)			> 27	7e 222,240
f	Total support for section 509(a)(2) test: Enter a				274,808	
9	Public support percentage (line 27e (numera					7g 80.87 %
h	Investment income percentage (line 18, colu					7h .03 %
28	Unusual Grants: For an organization describe prepare a list for your records to show, for ea description of the nature of the grant. Do not	ich year, the nam	e of the contribu	tor, the date and	amount of th	e grant, and a brief

0000	. () () () () () () () () () (
Pai	Private School Questionnaire (See page 7 of the instructions.)
	(To be completed ONLY by schools that checked the box on line 6 in Part IV)
29	pes the organization have a racially nondiscriminatory policy toward students by statement in its charter, b

29	Does the organization have a racially nondiscriminatory policy toward students by statement in its charter, bylaws, other governing instrument, or in a resolution of its governing body?	Yes No
30	Does the organization include a statement of its racially nondiscriminatory policy toward students in all its brochures, catalogues, and other written communications with the public dealing with student admissions, programs, and scholarships?	30
31	Has the organization publicized its racially nondiscriminatory policy through newspaper or broadcast media during the period of solicitation for students, or during the registration period if it has no solicitation program, in a way that makes the policy known to all parts of the general community it serves?	31
32	Does the organization maintain the following:	
а	Records indicating the racial composition of the student body, faculty, and administrative staff?	32a
b	Records documenting that scholarships and other financial assistance are awarded on a racially nondiscriminatory basis?	32b
С	Copies of all catalogues, brochures, announcements, and other written communications to the public dealing with student admissions, programs, and scholarships?	32c
d	Copies of all material used by the organization or on its behalf to solicit contributions?	32d
	If you answered "No" to any of the above, please explain. (If you need more space, attach a separate statement.)	
33	Does the organization discriminate by race in any way with respect to:	
а	Students' rights or privileges?	33a
b	Admissions policies?	33b
С	Employment of faculty or administrative staff?	33c
d	Scholarships or other financial assistance?	33d
е	Educational policies? . ,	33e
f	Use of facilities?	33f
g	Athletic programs?	33g
h	Other extracurricular activities?	33h
	If you answered "Yes" to any of the above, please explain. (If you need more space, attach a separate statement.)	
34a	Does the organization receive any financial aid or assistance from a governmental agency?	34a
b	Has the organization's right to such aid ever been revoked or suspended?	34ь
35	Does the organization certify that it has complied with the applicable requirements of sections 4.01 through 4.05	0.5
	of Rev. Proc. 75-50, 1975-2 C.B. 587, covering racial nondiscrimination? If "No," attach an explanation	35

Pa	t VI-A Lobbying Expenditures by Ele (To be completed ONLY by an					ns.)	
Che	ck ▶ a ☐ if the organization belongs to an affilia					ontrol"	provisions apply.
	Limits on Lobbyin	-			(a) Affiliated g totals		(b) To be completed for ALL electing
	(The term "expenditures" mea	ns amounts paid	or incurred.)				organizations
36	Total lobbying expenditures to influence public						
37	Total lobbying expenditures to influence a legis						
38	Total lobbying expenditures (add lines 36 and 3	•		1			
39	Other exempt purpose expenditures						
40	Total exempt purpose expenditures (add lines			40		- NK (S	
41	Lobbying nontaxable amount. Enter the amount		-				3.00
		obbying nontaxa		. 103900			100
		of the amount on 000 plus 15% of th		1 1853	Course of		
		000 plus 10% of the		1 1	31.00	no Corre	2 T 1 S 494 (120 (120 (120 (120 (120 (120 (120 (120
	Over \$1,500,000 but not over \$17,000,000 . \$225,0	•		300,000	7 7		100
		0,000		(2000)		yu i	
42	Grassroots nontaxable amount (enter 25% of I			1			
43	Subtract line 42 from line 36. Enter -0- if line 4			l			
44	Subtract line 41 from line 38. Enter -0- if line 4						
				3			
	Caution: If there is an amount on either line 43	3 or line 44, you n	nust file Form 47	20.	11. 3 (1)		
	(Some organizations that made a section See the instructions f	or lines 45 throug	do not have to d	complete all of t of the instructi	ons.)		
	Calendar year (or	(a)	(b)	(0)	(d)		(a)
	fiscal year beginning in)	2004	(b) 2003	(c) 2002	1		(e) Total
45	Lobbying nontaxable amount						
		1.00	nt de la company	3			
46	Lobbying ceiling amount (150% of line 45(e))	1	STATE TO A SECURITY		in a series		
47	Total lobbying expenditures						
	_						
48	Grassroots nontaxable amount			200	Confederate Control (Control (COUNT TO BOT	
	0				1000		
49	Grassroots ceiling amount (150% of line 48(e))				3 2 2 2 2 3		
50	Grassroots lobbying expenditures						
_	rt VI-B Lobbying Activity by Nonelec	ting Bublic C	harition	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
II C	(For reporting only by organiza			Part VI-A) (Se	e nage 11	of th	e instructions)
D						T	1
	ing the year, did the organization attempt to influence public opinion on a legislative r		_		any Yes	No	Amount
	Volunteers	natter of reference	am, anough are	u36 OI.		1	
b		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	enorted on lines	c through h)	• •	V	
c		•	•	C through n.,	• • -	V	
d						~	
e						1	
f						V	
g	Direct contact with legislators, their staffs, gov					~	
h	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					\ \	
i	Total lobbying expenditures (Add lines c through						
	If "Yes" to any of the above, also attach a stat	tement giving a d	etailed description	on of the lobbying	ng activities.		

Part VII			nsters to and Transaction 1 of the instructions.)	s and Helationships With Noncharitab	le Exe	mpt
				following with any other organization describe on 527, relating to political organizations?	d in se	 ection
a Transf	ers from the repo	orting organization	to a noncharitable exempt orga	nization of:	Yes	No
				51a(i)		1
• •	Other assets			a(ii)		~
٠,,	transactions:					
		es of assets with a	noncharitable exempt organizal	rion b(i)		~
			table exempt organization			~
			er assets	b(iii)	1	V
				b(iv)		~
` '		_		b(v)	1	~
				F4.3		V
			hip or fundraising solicitations			~
			sts, other assets, or paid emplo		٠	<u> </u>
goods	, other assets, o	r services given by	the reporting organization. If the	 Column (b) should always show the fair market ne organization received less than fair market s, other assets, or services received: 	value value i	of the n any
(a)	(b)		(c)	(d)		
Line no.	Amount involved	Name of nonc	haritable exempt organization	Description of transfers, transactions, and sharing ar	rangeme	ants
	-					
		L		<u> </u>		
descri	ibed in section 5		other than section 501(c)(3)) or i	e or more tax-exempt organizations n section 527? Ye	s 🗹	No
	(a)		(b)	(c)		
	Name of organiz	ation	Type of organization	Description of relationship		
-						
						

Harpeth River Watershed Association, Inc. Form 990, Year-Ended 12/31/04

Part I, Line 20—Other changes in Net Assets or Fund Balance:

The organization had not recognized any depreciation on its prior year 990's. In the current year, an adjustment was made to record prior year depreciation on the fixed assets.

Part III- Program Service Accomplishments:

1. River Restoration and Watershed Planning, Conservation, and Implementation (\$111,447):

A. River Restoration Program:

HRWA launched its River Restoration Program in 2002 with support from the TN Department of Agriculture's Non-Point Source Program. The main focus of this program is to identify causes of stream impairment, formulate a watershed plan to improve stream water quality and health, implement the restoration plan, and assess whether it is working. The primary focus has been to address sediment load from erosion and streambank or riparian habitat degradation. The River Restoration Program works with local community groups, schools, municipalities, farmers, and landowners to improve the long-term water quality of the Harpeth River watershed by improving stream habitat.

HRWA began seven stream restoration projects in 2003, all of which were on 303(d) segments, with the initial state to conduct a habitat assessment of the impaired streams in the Harpeth (listed on the states 303(d) list) and set priorities. These projects were a range of riparian buffer plantings and installation of cedar revetments, and a rock jetty in Kingston Springs. Importantly, each project involved a range of different partnerships that leveraged our grant funds and those funds of others, sometimes by 4 to 1. A core aspect of our River Restoration Program is a group of technical advisors that provides guidance on design and assessment. For 2004, several corporations and foundations provided support to the program so that projects could be undertaken beyond the main focus of the state 319 grant focus areas. Along the Little Harpeth, the city of Brentwood's park's department hired HRWA to conduct a rapid stream assessment and conduct restoration efforts that have been extensively leveraged with volunteer involvement.

Integral to the River Restoration Program is the Volunteer River Restoration Corps (VRRC) that HRWA created along with the Tennessee Scenic Rivers Association's Duck River Opportunities Project. The VRRC has planted 15,000 (+/-) native seedlings as part of the restoration projects for both the Duck and Harpeth Rivers and addressed over 1500 feet of actively eroding stream banks. In addition, the VRRC installed cedar revetments, as well as helped re-vegetate areas associated with more

intense stream bank stabilization methods, such as rock jetties. As an example of the range of collaboration on restoration projects, Jen-Hill Construction donated design expertise and staff to begin the effort to rebuild the riparian buffer around each picnic table area in Warner Park along the Little Harpeth River. The project was at picnic table #11 and involved Friends of Warner Park, the Cumberland River Compact, Jen-Hill, HRWA, and over 30 VRRC volunteers. Other projects involved the parks departments of the Franklin, Kingston Springs, and Brentwood, Chapman's Retreat Development, homeowners in an older Franklin subdivision, and landowners on the West Harpeth and main stem in the Narrows. Eagle Scouts, Boy and Girl Scout troops, and civic groups are very active volunteer collaborators. HRWA's Science and Technical Advisory Group are a range of specialists who donate their time to provide scienctific and technical expertise for this program and to guide and even conduct a range of field studies.

B. Watershed conservation, planning, policy, and landowner outreach:

HRWA's programmatic efforts include working on better development and road design and land use planning, more effective erosion control, encouraging infiltration stormwater management design, improving stream buffers, and similar on-the-ground and long-term solutions that everyone from a homeowner to a planning commissioner can implement. A major state grant (319 grant from the TN Nonpoint source program for \$300,000 that began in September 2003) and a new EPA grant for \$200,000 that began at the end of 2004 support this work. Key HRWA staff continue to receive training on stormwater design and watershed conservation and planning approaches and have developed several partnerships with expertise in various non-profit firms, such as the Center for Watershed Protection, and consulting firms, such as AMEC and CDM.

With the 319 grant, a key focus is on establishing basic watershed plans with local involvement in specific small areas and implementing some of the recommended restoration practices. HRWA's efforts focus on both agricultural areas and suburbanizing areas. As of the end of 2004, the agricultural sub-watershed will be in the headwaters around Eagleville. The first major landowner workshop in collaboration with the mayor and NRCS district conservationist with over 40 attendees was held in January 2005.

Another big agricultural restoration effort was identified by HRWA and we helped secure land owner interest in what at the moment is the largest, in length, project of the new TN Stream Mitigation Program. The lower West Harpeth project, bridge to bridge, was identified as a major area of erosion by HRWA's Visual Stream Assessment which was provided to the TSMP staff for their use. Success in landowner participation was hinged on HRWA relationships with key landowners to build some trust in working with the state and signing an agreement that restricts some uses of the 100 foot buffer along the riverbank that would cause erosion.

HRWA is also subcontracted via EME by TDOT to conduct a survey of restoration opportunities in the Turnbull Creek watershed, another agricultural area. Because of major violations of erosion control requirements on SR 840 in Dickson,

TDOT and the road builders were levied significant fines and \$500,000 in supplemental environmental funds. HRWA worked with TDEC and TDOT in 2003 to suggest that these funds could be used to address the tons of sediment in the creeks if warranted and conduct other stream habitat restoration projects.

A significant focus of these two government grants and other HRWA collaborations is to incorporate Better Site Design/Low Impact Development approaches into land use planning and local ordinances to protect and restore water quality. In 2004, all the developers proposing large residential and mix use developments in Five Mile Creek were approached and HRWA is working with them all on this effort. By the end of 2004, the effort begun under the state 319 grant for a suburban subwatershed plan became the focus of the new EPA grant on Five Mile Creek where the city of Franklin's southern growth is planned to occur. The residential development of 1400 homes on the Ladd Farm worked very closely with HRWA, hired AMEC to design as much stormwater infiltration as possible to be a model on many of the better site design approaches. All the developments in the area are official partners with HRWA along with Franklin and Williamson County on the EPA grant. The purpose of the EPA grant is to explore how stormwater and land-use planning can be designed to help meet water quality and drinking water protection goals with a focus on using subwatershed planning and implementation that is a transportable process to other municipal governments. The goal is to development plans that either remove streams from the state 303(d) list when impaired, or maintain healthy stream/river conditions.

HRWA also worked as a collaborator with the Cumberland River Compact's EPA grant that began in 2003. Key elements of this \$600,000 3-year grant is a suburban style development in the Harpeth River Watershed (there are two others in the Red and Mill Creek) to demonstrate watershed protection and energy efficient home construction in a development on a 303d stream and conduct restoration efforts on that same stream and assess the impacts on water quality. In addition, HRWA set up a number of presentations and meetings, some with stormwater design experts such as AMEC, with major developers, design and engineering firms. In the Harpeth River/Nashville Metro region there are a number of key developers, land design and engineering firms that are the major players. If they become comfortable and knowledgeable of the new or modifying local stormwater regulations and better site design principles and embrace them, much of the approaches will become accepted and used readily. Examples of many key practices from incorporating 100-foot stream buffers, pervious pavement, infiltration of stormwater design and others are now in the works or in place in the region because of efforts of many entities. HRWA's efforts also include tracking the planning commissions around the watershed for development proposals in critical areas and discussing better site design options and any issues specific to affecting water quality with those involved in the proposals. Development concept plans, proposals to relocate large sections of streams, or to do large cut and fill in the floodplain have been modified as a result of our efforts. Many developers are now contacting HRWA, CRC and others to get input when ideas are development ideas are in the beginning stages.

Complementary to specific work with developments to incorporate low impact development principles, is work at the local and state level on stormwater regulations. The Executive Director served on the Williamson County Stormwater Advisory Committee which oversaw the development of a new county stormwater ordinance. She now will serve on the stormwater appeals board. The new ordinance was adopted in December 2004. A significant feature is the state's most progressive approach to requiring appropriately sized natural stream buffers. The Executive Director also participated in Franklin's special Goose Creek subarea plan which covers Five Mile Creek watershed. The main focus was to bring watershed conservation principles into the land use plan for the area and a larger, 50-foot stream buffer was adopted.

HRWA also provided advice on open space conservation approaches to several efforts begun in 2004 to lay the foundation for a new Williamson County land use plan and efforts in Franklin to explore techniques for protecting open space.

HRWA expanded its outreach efforts in 2004 for landowners whether agricultural, large lot owners, or those with small subdivision lots. HRWA developed its first RiverSmart Festival, held in April, to provide an array of tips and information. Materials were developed on using rain barrels (including how to order them), planting a rain garden, and native species for streambank areas. Existing national RiverSmart water conservation tips were utilized, and the many excellent materials targeted to farmers pulled together as well. HRWA staff conducted workshops and training sessions and formed outreach partnerships with an array of entities from garden clubs and plant nurseries to city and state efforts, such as Keep Williamson County Beautiful. HRWA collaborated with various local river clean-up efforts and Arbor Day efforts and gave numerous presentations to civic clubs of all kinds around the watershed.

Outreach also was specifically targeted to specific audiences in 2004. HRWA held two "Roundtables" around meals supported by sponsors for the elected leaders and municipal staff for Franklin and Williamson County. Smaller meetings were held with the city of Peagram and Dickson County leadership. Presentations were given and discussions held with all the Soil Conservation Districts and NRCS District Conservationists that cover the Harpeth. These entities are very effective in reaching the agricultural leadership

HRWA outreach also included the third annual newsletter, 16 pages that can be read on the web site (harepthriver.org), and numerous electronically based *Harpeth River eNews*. The *eNews* enables us to communicate to the Volunteer River Restoration Corps on upcoming projects, and to all our supporters and partners regarding program issues throughout the year in a cost effective manner. Additionally, our web site continued to be wonderfully maintained on volunteer time. Also we organized the first series of fun outings that included hikes, canoe trips, and archeological trips around the Harpeth with experts volunteering their time to lead these trips.

2. State Conservation Policy (\$79,605):

HRWA also engages in shaping water pollution and watershed conservation policy as a complementary effort to the on-the-ground river restoration, planning, and assessment program efforts. HRWA's focus on state conservation policy is driven and directly related to our work with specific situations or watershed conservation implementation within the Harpeth. HRWA's policy efforts focus on state permitting, land use and transportation planning to incorporate watershed and water quality goals, and the broader aspects of development and growth. Much of HRWA's state conservation policy work is supported with general funds from donors and membership.

A major HRWA focus in 2003 on the city of Franklin's proposal to increase drinking water withdrawals from the Harpeth was not accepted by TDEC. During 2004, TDEC took several months to ultimately inform the city that the permit application was incomplete having not provided an assessment that the proposal would not "harm" the river or would enable this area of the river to maintain its designated uses for recreation and fish and aquatic life.

HRWA reviewed and provided field data to TDEC for its draft 2004 303(d) list. As a result, several listings were adjusted. In 2004, EPA approved the state's revisions to its Water Pollution Control Act regulation and standards without supporting the change in the Dissolved Oxygen standard that would have affected the upper Harpeth. This was a successful outcome of HRWA efforts to review the scientific studies and provide input to TDEC and EPA regarding concerns with this proposed change in a water quality standard.

Related to the efforts to work with developers and municipal governments on how to design development to reduce water quality impacts, is the challenges with poor implementation of regulatory requirements. HRWA responds to inquiries or calls regarding possible violations of local and state requirements to keep soil from leaving construction sites and related permit issues. Staff informed contractors on several sites, offered suggestions, and HRWA is conducting a study of the effectiveness of current construction permit regulations.

HRWA tracks state permit applications in the Harpeth river watershed and spent considerable time on several key ones when they have particular statewide policy implications and/or water quality impacts. Several involved proposals to relocate long stretches of stream for development plans that were addressed before major financial commitments were made. At the end of 2004 a proposal to build a large private earthen dam in headwaters of the South Harpeth was submitted to the Corp of Engineers. The bulk of this work was done in 2005 and will be reported then. Also beginning in the end of 2004, was a proposal for a very large alternative sewer system with land application of the effluent for a small town of Thompson's Station (population 1800). Much of the work on this emerging issue of new sewage treatment approaches coming into the region to enable dense growth in previously "undevelopable" areas occurs in 2005.

As a result of expanding efforts on state and federal permitting and water conservation policy, HRWA helped facilitate the start of an effort among most of the

environmental/conservation groups in the state that focus on water issues. The first meeting was held in late 2004 to begin looking at ways to collaborate, discuss water conservation issues, and prioritize efforts to collaborate on addressing them. Issues raised specific to HRWA's experiences in the Harpeth include state ARAP permitting limitations for water withdrawals, the anti-degradation policy process, the construction stormwater permitting process, and the dramatic increase in use of alternative sewer/land application systems.

HRWA also focused on the design and pace of the shut-down of the Metallico Battery recycling plant on the Harpeth in College Grove. The new ownership inherited an actively eroding battery casing dump right on the river that was moved and capped on site. Though this site of raw lead into the river and drinking water was known for years by EPA and TDEC, it was finally addressed when the facility was shut-down and that permitting process required that the dump be addressed. HRWA met with the consulting firm and TDEC to address concerns that the work happened to occur in the winter and the rains made the conditions unworkable to secure the exposed soil laced with lead. Soil was documented going into the Harpeth before it was stabilized.

The Executive Director was asked to serve on the TDOT context sensitive design team established in September 2004 to address how to re-align and design the final segment of SR 840 that was halted by litigation. HRWA engages in road design and construction issues as they relate to the effect of watershed health. The history of 840 involved egregious violations of erosion controls on the segment in Dickson that resulted in tons of dirt entering the Turnbull creek, part of the Harpeth. The route of 840 proposed was to affect many high quality headwater systems of the Harpeth and significant archeological sites. The work of the team continues into 2005. In addition, HRWA submitted comments regarding water quality considerations of the major road extension around Franklin which is in the same vicinity as the contentious TVA line mentioned just below and to cross over the mainstem of the Harpeth and a large floodplain storage area several times.

In spring 2004, TVA, Franklin, and Southern Land Company ultimately worked out the location of the electrical substation and the final TVA line route was announced. This was a major victory for HRWA's efforts along with so many other entities, including Franklin and the developer. HRWA worked hard for several years to shorten this line and get it routed off the West Harpeth where the need to clear trees under the line would have had a dramatic impact on the West Harpeth's riparian corridor and required costly efforts by TVA to stabilize the river system under the line.

3. Duck River Opportunities Project (\$29,567):

The Duck River Opportunities Project is a program of the Tennessee Scenic Rivers Association (TSRA) that is currently being managed by the Harpeth River Watershed Association. Two staff people for HRWA are also the staff for the TSRA's DROP program. In 2004, DROP worked with five schools in the Duck River watershed: EA Cox Middle (2 teachers), Whitthorne Middle (3 teachers), Spring Hill High (1

teacher), the Farm School (2 teachers) and Hampshire School (1 teacher). All participated by sending young people to local streams to sample water quality, including water chemistry, aquatic insects (benthic macroinvertebrates) and habitat assessments and each event was supervised by DROP staff. DROP staff also went into the classrooms to work with students before field trips to help them understand how watersheds work and how nonpoint source pollution has a major impact on local water quality. Young people also helped plant 1,500 trees along streambanks to help improve riparian zones and stabilize eroding banks. In addition, DROP helped Whitthorne Middle School do its first Youth Summit – an educational program put on by students participating in DROP for their peers. Over 800 students participated overall, learning about chemistry, bugs and nonpoint source pollution, with an emphasis on trying to look for opportunities for improvement.

4. Field study and assessment of watershed health (\$6,823):

A core aspect of our programs is to have the ability to assess watershed health and evaluate changes, land use practices, restoration efforts, regulations and policies. Current emphases for HRWA field studies involve assessment of water quality to guide watershed planning and restoration and implementation, and to study various developments to have locally specific data on water quality effects from development practices and those that incorporate better site design components. Both the 319 state \$300,000 grant and EPA \$200,000 grant include water quality field assessment and studies, but these costs are not broken out from item 2 above to combine here. The total percentage of work in this major program area is closer to 10% if these were combined.

Under the emphasis of water quality assessment, in 2004, HRWA conducted a water quality study of a large property that is currently forested on the South Harpeth and for which the new owner is considering to propose with a zoning change a large Walmart village. HRWA is working with a group of citizens in the area of highway 100/96 to work on the larger issue of supporting the existing Metro land use plan zoning as conservation rural. The field study which has been passed to TDEC found high water quality conditions on the tributaries on the property. An important aspect of protecting watershed health is to focus growth in areas and identify areas for open space and other forms of land use based on how they interact to protect water quality. The South Harpeth is the most pristine river within a 25 mile radius of Nashville according to TDEC and this area from a watershed standpoint needs to be managed to maintain the high water quality. From a smart growth policy perspective, this entails encouraging denser growth in core areas interspersed with land uses (agricultural, forested, parks, etc.) that are natural or managed for more "open" conservation areas. These are the policy issues around this specific property.

Other field assessment work in 2004 includes the continuation of the bank erosion study supported by the 319 grant to get a first estimate quantification of the amount of sediment, the primary pollutant in most of the rivers including the Harpeth, coming from bank erosion. Based on the Harpeth River sediment study, this was a major issue to

address. The sediment study final report was completed in 2004, and then held up to include a short study by USGS for TDEC using the data to calibrate various metrics for reading sedimentation (turbidity, TSS). While experts of HRWA's Technical Advisory Group designed the study, HRWA volunteers and staff managed the project which has been extremely valuable in addressing a complex set of issues by providing data too expensive to get without volunteers.

HRWA continued to development partnerships with academic institutions to enable field studies and assessments, such as the nutrient study in the headwaters (covered by the 319 grant) and a study of lead in the Harpeth. Partnerships included Vanderbilt Geology, Belmont, MTSU, and TN Tech in 2004. Some donated lab space, Vanderbilt professors oversaw student analysis, and all provided students to volunteer, sometimes to meet "in-service" requirements, for the River Restoration Program and somewhat in field studies.

HRWA focused on gathering all existing data on the Harpeth on water quality, wildlife, endangered and threatened species, TMDLs, and related areas to prepare for working on a Science Plan for the Harpeth with USGS and watershed priority setting plan as part of the EPA grant. Some data from HRWA's Visual Habitat Assessment and fish data was converted into GIS format so that it was more accessible to planners that do not use natural resource data typically. HRWA staff also attended training offered by TDEC to learn how to use flow duration curves as a means to guide TMDL and restoration efforts by identifying the primary way the pollutant is reaching the stream. HRWA staff also worked on the Quality Assurance Plans that are required for the state and federal grants with data components. This ensures HRWA field data meets EPA approved protocols so that data are included in state and federal databases for public use.

The second area of field studies, which is the basis for the percentage of program expenses listed above, focuses on specific studies of developments to assess the impact to water quality. Each of the development field studies are earned income supported as contracts with the developer. Each of the three developments being studied is using some aspects of better site design. In 2004, two new field studies began. The predevelopment conditions phase was completed for a development near Fairview in a forested setting that includes large stream buffers and deed restrictions on the large properties to prohibit forest clearing. The field study on the Ladd Farm which incorporated very comprehensively low impact development components from large stream buffers, to storm water infiltration design and reforestation of the floodplain was designed in 2004 and will start in 2005. The middle phase of the study of Westhaven's first section was completed at the end of 2003/early 2004.



HARPETH RIVER WATERSHED ASSOCIATION

Board of Directors April 2004

Dorene Bolze

Executive Director

P.O. Box 1127 Franklin, TN 37065 (615) 591-9095

El Cox

President of the Board

Chemist

182 Lancaster Drive Franklin, TN 37064 615-322-0163 work ELCOX@aol.com

David Lemke

Vice-President

Attorney at Law

Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis

2088 Old Hillsboro Road

Franklin, TN 37064 615-791-8885-home

del@wallerlaw.com

•

Matt Dobson

Treasurer

Aegis Group 1102 18th Ave

Nashville, TN 37212

615-794-6359

(615) 843-2805 work

mdobson@aegistax.com

Pam Bolen

Secretary

3299 Blazer Road

Franklin, TN 37064

615-591-1966 home

Rick Lockwood

Ecotoxicologist

Advent Group

201 Summit View Drive, Suite 300

Brentwood, TN 37027

615-377-4775

r.lockwood@adventgroup.org

Scott Davis

Executive Director of Nature Conservancy of TN

2021 21st Avenue South, Suite 400

Nashville, TN 37212

615-383-9909

sdavis@tnc.org

Stacey Watson McRight

Director of Community Relations

Stites & Harbison

604 West Main Street

Franklin, TN 37064

615-599-0636 work

stacey.mcright@stites.com

Phil Pace

Consultant

Floreat Inc., President

305 Richmond Place

Franklin, TN. 37064

794-2421 home

533-2339 cell

pspace@comcast.net

Maury Miller

Dickson County Environmental Board

208 Bellwood Circle Dickson, TN 37055 615-446-7855 earthfriends@acelink.net

Mike Walton Walton's Antique Jewelry 410 Main Street Franklin, TN 37064 615-790-0244 diamond1@telocity.net