FISK UNIVERSITY

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

JUNE 30, 2015

FISK UNIVERSITY

Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1 - 2
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
Statement of Financial Position	3
Statement of Activities	4 - 5
Statement of Cash Flows	6
Notes to Financial Statements	7 - 28
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	29 - 32
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	33
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH	
GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	34 - 35
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER	
COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY OMB CIRCULAR A-133	36 - 38
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	39 - 56
SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS	55 - 62



Independent Auditor's Report

Members of the Audit Committee Board of Trustees Fisk University Nashville, Tennessee

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Fisk University (the "University"), which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2015, and the related statements of activities, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements


Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Fisk University as of June 30, 2015, and the changes in its net assets and its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards, as required by Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Report on Summarized Comparative Information

We have previously audited the University's 2014 financial statements, and our report dated November 17, 2014, expressed an unmodified opinion on those audited financial statements. In our opinion, the summarized comparative information presented herein as of and for the year ended June 30, 2014, is consistent, in all material respects, with the audited financial statements from which it has been derived.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

rosslin + associates, P.C.

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 10, 2016, on our consideration of the University's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the University's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Nashville, Tennessee March 10, 2016

FISK UNIVERSITY STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL POSITION JUNE 30, 2015

(with comparative totals for 2014)

ASSETS

	2015	2014	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 977,879	\$ 2,463,195	
Restricted cash	1,208,542	1,194,418	
Accounts and grants receivable, net of allowance	1,200,512	1,171,110	
for doubtful accounts	3,147,800	3,797,473	
Contributions receivable, net	2,422,155	1,813,678	
Notes receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts	152,309	227,440	
Prepaid expenses and other assets	1,330,530	1,261,442	
Investments in marketable securities	14,931,201	15,322,553	
Investments in art collections	15,192,350	8,048,495	
Investment in affiliate	49,302,663	49,302,663	
Real estate held for investment	593,550	593,550	
Beneficial interests in trusts and endowments	6,221,168	6,445,113	
Property and equipment, at cost, net of		, ,	
accumulated depreciation	24,609,221	23,512,793	
Total assets	<u>\$120,089,368</u>	<u>\$113,982,813</u>	
<u>LIABILITIES</u>			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 2,247,281	\$ 1,254,492	
Deposits	84,416	76,535	
Deferred revenue	1,317,940	705,575	
Notes payable	4,200,416	1,979,767	
Bonds payable	6,685,000	7,065,000	
Capital lease obligation	311,474	-	
Advances from Federal government for Perkins loan programs	378,407	395,601	
Total liabilities	15,224,934	11,476,970	
NET ASSETS			
Unrestricted	83,003,305	81,110,343	
Temporarily restricted	3,283,866	3,468,261	
Permanently restricted	18,577,263	17,927,239	
2		<u> </u>	
Total net assets	104,864,434	102,505,843	
Total liabilities and net assets	<u>\$120,089,368</u>	<u>\$113,982,813</u>	

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

FISK UNIVERSITY STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 (with comparative totals for 2014)

	Unrestricted	Temporarily Restricted	Permanently Restricted
Operating activities:			
Revenue and other support:			
Tuition and fees	\$ 15,414,880	\$ -	\$ -
Less scholarships and fellowships	(11,456,302)		
Net tuition and fees	3,958,578	-	-
Governmental grants and contracts	7,901,370	-	-
Private gifts and grants	2,572,558	1,569,056	-
Endowment spending payout	981,677	-	-
Sales and services of auxiliary enterprises, net	6,274,642	-	-
Other sources	753,022	-	_
Net assets released from restrictions	1,270,168	(1,270,168)	-
Total revenue and other support	23,712,015	298,888	
Expenses:			
Instruction	5,792,621	_	_
Research	4,144,308	_	_
Academic support	3,197,963	_	_
Student services	3,856,129	_	_
Institutional support	6,376,172	_	_
Auxiliary enterprises	5,744,682	_	_
Total expenses	29,111,875		
Not (degrange) ingrange in not aggets from			
Net (decrease) increase in net assets from	(5 200 960)	200 000	
operating activities	(5,399,860)	298,888	-
Non-operating activities:			C#0.004
Private gifts and grants	-	-	650,024
Net gain (loss) in endowment and other investments.	,		
net of amount appropriated for endowment		(
spending payout	7,292,822	(483,283)	
Net increase (decrease) in net assets from			
non-operating activities	7,292,822	(483,283)	650,024
. 0			
Net increase (decrease) in net assets	1,892,962	(184,395)	650,024
Net assets at beginning of year	81,110,343	3,468,261	17,927,239
Net assets at end of year	<u>\$ 83,003,305</u>	\$ 3,283,866	<u>\$18,577,263</u>

То	tal
2015	2014
\$ 15,414,880	\$ 12,993,403
(11,456,302)	(8,158,514)
3,958,578	4,834,889
, ,	, ,
7,901,370	10,188,493
4,141,614	4,948,780
981,677	556,792
6,274,642	4,527,169
753,022	590,151
24,010,903	25,646,274
5,792,621	5,224,664
4,144,308	4,609,158
3,197,963	2,792,528
3,856,129	3,317,669
6,376,172	5,013,679
5,744,682	4,859,439
29,111,875	25,817,137
(5,100,972)	(170,863)
650,024	391,374
6,809,539	20,242,182
7,459,563	20,633,556
2,358,591	20,462,693
102,505,843	82,043,150
\$ 104,864,434	<u>\$ 102,505,843</u>

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

FISK UNIVERSITY STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

(with comparative totals for 2014)

	2015	2014
Cash flows from operating activities:	4. 225 0 5 01	***
Net increase in net assets	\$ 2,358,591	\$ 20,462,693
Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets		
to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: Depreciation expense	1,874,717	1,742,449
Amortization expense	18,692	18,692
Gain on investments and beneficial interests in trust	(7,791,216)	(20,647,370)
In-kind gift of real estate held for sale	(7,771,210)	(20,047,570) $(33,000)$
In-kind gift of art held for investment	_	(147,000)
Change in allowance for doubtful accounts	281,206	156,926
Endowed gifts reclassified to financing activities	(650,024)	(391,374)
(Increase) decrease in accounts, grants and	(000,000)	(= > = ,= , :)
contributions receivable	(240,010)	589,423
Decrease in notes receivable	75,131	85,848
(Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other assets	(87,780)	20,642
Decrease (increase) in accounts payable, accrued	, ,	
expenses and deferred revenue	1,605,154	(567,397)
Increase in deposits	7,881	3,471
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(2,547,658)	1,294,003
Cash flows from investing activities:		
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash	(14.124)	90,892
Net decrease (increase) in investments and beneficial interests	(14,124) 1,262,658	(515,081)
Purchase of property and equipment	(2,514,448)	(2,180,701)
(Decrease) increase in advances from Federal government	(17,194)	3,349
(Decrease) increase in advances from rederal government	(17,194)	3,349
Net cash used in investing activities	(1,283,108)	(2,601,541)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Endowed gifts reclassified from operating activities	650,024	391,374
Proceeds from the issuance of notes payable	2,844,084	319,717
Principal repayment of capital lease obligations	(145,222)	´ -
Principal repayment of bonds and notes payable	(1,003,436)	(858,722)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	2,345,450	(147,631)
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(1,485,316)	(1,455,169)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS beginning of year	2,463,195	3,918,364
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS end of year	<u>\$ 977,879</u>	<u>\$ 2,463,195</u>
Supplemental disclosure and non-cash investing and financing ac	tivities:	
Cash paid for interest	\$ 323,884	\$ 337,318

During fiscal 2014, the University acquired certain software totaling \$456,696 through a capital lease.

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

A. <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE ORGANIZATION</u>

Fisk University (the "University") is a private, not-for-profit, liberal arts institution of higher education affiliated with the United Church of Christ through the American Missionary Association. Founded in 1866, the University offers undergraduate and graduate degrees.

The University is accredited by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools and is a member of the United Negro College Fund.

B. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND OTHER MATTERS

A summary of the significant accounting policies consistently applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements is as follows:

Basis of Accounting

The financial statements of the University have been prepared using the accrual basis of accounting.

Basis of Presentation

The University classifies its revenues, expenses, gains, and losses into three classes of net assets based on the existence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions. Accordingly, the net assets of the University and changes therein are classified and reported as follows:

<u>Unrestricted net assets</u> - Net assets that are not subject to donor-imposed stipulations.

<u>Temporarily restricted net assets</u> - Net assets subject to donor-imposed stipulations that may or will be met either by actions of the University and/or the passage of time.

<u>Permanently restricted net assets</u> - Net assets subject to donor-imposed stipulations that the University maintain them permanently. Generally, the donors of these assets permit the University to use all or part of the income earned on related investments for general or specific purposes.

The amount for each of these classes of net assets is presented in the statement of financial position and the amount of change in each class of net assets is displayed in the statement of activities.

B. <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u> AND OTHER MATTERS - Continued

Contributions

The University reports gifts of cash and other assets as restricted support if they are received with donor stipulations that limit the use of the donated assets. When a donor restriction expires, that is, when a stipulated time restriction ends or the purpose of the restriction is accomplished, temporarily restricted net assets are reclassified to unrestricted net assets and reported in the statement of activities as net assets released from restrictions

The University reports gifts of land, buildings, and equipment as unrestricted support unless explicit donor stipulations specify how the donated assets must be used. Gifts of long-lived assets with explicit restrictions that specify how the assets are to be used and gifts of cash or other assets that must be used to acquire long-lived assets are reported as restricted support. Absent explicit donor stipulations regarding how long the long-lived assets must be maintained, the University reports expirations of donor restrictions when the donated or acquired long-lived assets are placed in service.

Contributions of services are recognized if the services received (a) create or enhance non-financial assets or (b) require specialized skills, are provided by individuals possessing those skills and would typically need to be purchased if not provided by donation.

In the event a donor makes changes to the nature of a restricted gift, which affects its classification among the net asset categories, such amounts are reflected as reclassifications in the statement of activities.

Contributions, including unconditional promises to give, are recognized as revenues in the period received. Conditional promises to give are not recognized until they become unconditional, that is when the conditions on which they depend are substantially met. Contributions of assets other than cash are recorded at their estimated fair value. Contributions to be received after one year are discounted at an appropriate discount rate commensurate with the risks involved. Amortization of discounts is recorded as additional contribution revenue in accordance with donor-imposed restrictions, if any, on the contributions. An allowance for uncollectible contributions receivable is provided based upon management's judgment including such factors as prior collection history, type of contribution and nature of fundraising activity.

Comparative Financial Statements

The summarized financial information shown for fiscal year 2014 in the accompanying statement of financial position, statements of activities and cash flows is included to provide a basis for comparison with fiscal year 2015. Certain reclassifications have been made to fiscal year 2014 amounts to conform to the fiscal year 2015 presentation.

B. <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u> <u>AND OTHER MATTERS</u> - Continued

Use of Estimates in the Preparation of Financial Statements

Judgment and estimation is exercised by management in certain areas of the preparation of financial statements. The more significant areas include the recovery period for buildings and equipment, the allocation of certain operating and maintenance expenses to functional categories, the collection of contributions receivable, and the allowance for doubtful receivables. Management believes that such estimates have been based on reasonable assumptions and that such estimates are adequate. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

For purposes of the statement of cash flows, the University's cash and cash equivalents include interest-bearing money market accounts and all highly liquid debt instruments with a maturity of less than three months at the date of purchase. Restricted cash balances at June 30, 2015 and 2014, are as follows:

	2015	2014
Bond reserves held by trustee - restricted cash Cash from Federal Perkins loan program Other restricted cash	\$ 961,853 215,286 31,403	\$ 958,423 212,319 23,676
Total restricted cash	<u>\$1,208,542</u>	\$1,194,418

The University maintains cash balances in financial institutions that it considers to be high quality financial institutions.

Investments

Investments in equity securities with readily determinable fair values and all investments in debt securities are reported at fair value. Investments in art are stated at the most recently available independently appraised values or fair value based upon an agreement to sell certain art (Note D). All gains and losses arising from the sale, collection or other disposition of investments and ordinary income derived from investments are accounted for in the net assets group owning such assets, except for income derived from investments of permanently restricted endowment and similar funds which is accounted for as discussed in Note O.

B. <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u> AND OTHER MATTERS - Continued

Investment in Affiliate

The University and its joint venture partner formed the Stieglitz Art Collection LLC, a limited liability company during June 2012. The University owns 50% of its membership interest, and accounts for the investment using the equity method of accounting. See Note D.

Beneficial Interest in Trusts and Endowments

Beneficial interest in trusts and endowments represent arrangements in which a donor or the University establishes and funds a trust or endowment administered by an individual or organization other than the University. Beneficial interests are recorded at their fair value

Property, Buildings and Equipment

Property, buildings and equipment are stated at cost in the accompanying balance sheet or if contributed, at estimated fair value at the time of contribution. It is the University's policy to capitalize expenditures for these items in excess of \$5,000. Library holdings have been recorded at actual cost by the University.

Depreciable assets are being depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

Buildings50 yearsImprovements25 yearsLibrary holdings10 yearsEquipment and furniture3 - 10 years

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets, such as property and equipment, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell, and depreciation ceases. The University did not have any impairment of long-lived assets for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

B. <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u> AND OTHER MATTERS - Continued

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

Accounts, contributions, and notes receivable are reported net of allowances for doubtful accounts and include receivables from students for tuition and fees and loans extended under the Federal Perkins Loan Program. Perkins funds are disbursed based upon the demonstration of financial need presented by the student. Upon graduation, the students have a nine month grace period on the Perkins loan, at which time the loan will also begin accruing interest. Perkins loan amounts are then repaid through a third party billing service. Student loans are considered past due when payment has not been received in over 30 days. The determination of the allowances for doubtful accounts is based upon an analysis of the receivables and reflects amounts, which in management's judgment, are adequate to provide for potential uncollectible accounts or losses after giving consideration to the growth and composition of the receivable balances, past collection and loss experience and current economic conditions which could influence the ability of loan recipients to repay the amounts per the loan terms. The following allowances are recorded in the accompanying balance sheets:

	2015	2014
Student accounts and grant receivables Federal Perkins and institutional	\$4,764,930	\$4,483,724
notes receivable	\$1,363,680	\$1,363,680
Contributions receivable	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000

Bond Issuance Costs

The University amortizes deferred bond issuance costs of \$376,371 over the twenty-year life of the related bonds using the interest method. The unamortized balances were \$78,426 and \$97,118 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which are included in prepaid expenses and other assets. The total amortization expense was \$18,692 for each of the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014.

Deferred Revenue

Deferred revenue consists of cash receipts collected or billed prior to year-end, for services rendered after year-end. These receipts primarily pertain to upcoming semester fees and unearned grant revenue.

B. <u>SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES</u> AND OTHER MATTERS - Continued

Income Taxes

The University is exempt from Federal and State income taxes under Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code; accordingly, no provision for income taxes has been made in the accompanying financial statements. The University is not classified as a private foundation

The University accounts for the effect of any uncertain tax positions based on a *more likely than not* threshold to the recognition of the tax positions being sustained based on the technical merits of the position under examination by the applicable taxing authority. If a tax position or positions are deemed to result in uncertainties of those positions, the unrecognized tax benefit is estimated based on a *cumulative probability assessment* that aggregates the estimated tax liability for all uncertain tax positions. Tax positions for the University include, but are not limited to, the tax-exempt status and determination of whether income is subject to unrelated business income tax; however, the University has determined that such tax positions do not result in an uncertainty requiring recognition.

Fair Value Measurements

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the statement of financial position are categorized based on the level of judgment associated with the inputs used to measure their fair value (Note P). Level inputs, as defined by Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, are as follows:

- Level 1 Values are unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets accessible at the measurement date.
- Level 2 Inputs include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices from those willing to trade in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by market data for the term of the instrument. Such inputs include market interest rates and volatilities, spreads and yield curves.
- Level 3 Certain inputs are unobservable (supported by little or no market activity) and significant to the fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the University's best estimate of what hypothetical market participants would use to determine a transaction price for the asset or liability at the reporting date.

C. <u>CONTRIBUTIONS RECEIVABLE</u>

Contributions receivable at June 30, 2015 and 2014, consisted of the following:

		2015		2014
Unconditional promises expected to be collected in	:			
Less than one year	\$	745,832	\$	476,953
One year to five years		679,342		547,571
More than five years		1,636,363		1,434,028
·		3,061,537	2	2,458,549
Less allowance for uncollectible pledges				
and unamortized discount	_(639,382)	_(644,871)
	\$	<u>2,422,155</u>	<u>\$</u>	1,813,678

D. <u>INVESTMENTS</u>

At June 30, 2015 and 2014, investments, stated at market value, are comprised of the following significant classifications:

	2015	2014
Certificates of deposit and money market funds	\$ 533,709	\$3,705,388
Mutual bond and stock funds:		
Equities	8,476,025	4,987,100
Fixed income	4,265,151	5,517,547
Complementary strategies	758,924	740,875
Real assets	897,392	371,643
	<u>\$14,931,201</u>	<u>\$15,322,553</u>

The return (investment income, gains and losses) on investments in marketable securities was 4.3% and 6.5% based on the average market value of such investments for fiscal years 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The University's collections of art held for investment are stated at the most recently available independently appraised values. These art collections were appraised during fiscal year 2015, resulting in an unrealized gain of \$7,143,855. Investments in art collections totaled \$15,192,350 and \$8,048,495 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The market for art is volatile and it is possible that appraised values could change materially. The collections consists of paintings, photographs, sculptures and various other pieces.

D. <u>INVESTMENTS</u> - Continued

The University has a 50% membership interest in the Stieglitz Art Collection LLC (LLC), whose sole asset is the Alfred Stieglitz art collection. The operating agreement of the LLC provides for periodic rotation and display of the art collection between the University and the other 50% member, Crystal Bridges Museum of American Art. The University's investment in the LLC is accounted for using the equity method of accounting, whereby the University's share of the operations of the LLC are recorded in the statements of activities. The art collection was appraised during fiscal year 2014, resulting in a gain to the LLC of \$38,605,325, and the net equity of the LLC totaled \$98,605,325 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The University also has a beneficial interest in a permanent endowment fund with a not-for-profit foundation established to benefit the display and care for the collection at the University's gallery (Note E).

E. BENEFICIAL INTERESTS IN TRUSTS AND ENDOWMENTS

During 2015 and 2014, the University received interest and dividends of \$110,491 and \$119,264, respectively, on funds held in a trust and in an endowment fund (Note D). These funds total \$6,221,168 and \$6,445,113 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. These funds are held by a financial institution and a foundation for the benefit of the University for various purposes and have been recorded in the University's financial statements as beneficial interests in trusts and endowments.

F. PROPERTY, BUILDINGS AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of property and equipment at June 30, 2015 and 2014 are as follows:

	2015	2014
Land and improvements	\$ 1,009,188	\$ 1,009,188
Buildings and equipment	54,989,548	53,082,421
Equipment and furniture	28,005,324	26,912,518
Library books	1,984,660	1,969,178
Construction in progress		44,270
	85,988,720	83,017,575
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(61,379,499)	(59,504,782)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 24,609,221	\$ 23,512,793

Depreciation expense totaled \$1,874,717 and \$1,742,449 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively (See Note L).

G. NOTES PAYABLE AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER CAPITAL LEASE

Notes Payable

The University has a note payable with a financial institution due in monthly payments of principal and interest of \$25,168 through August 2021. The note payable bears interest at 7.47% and is collateralized by real estate. The outstanding balance was \$1,488,776 and \$1,657,324 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The University entered into a note payable with a financial institution during June 2012. The note payable is due in quarterly payments of principal and interest of \$29,429 through June 2015. The note bears interest at 2% and is collateralized by certain software. The outstanding balance was \$116,260 at June 30, 2014 and was repaid during fiscal year 2015.

The University has two notes payable to a financial institution due in aggregate monthly principal and interest payments of \$13,161 through December 2014. The notes bore interest at 4.69%. The aggregate outstanding balances were \$206,183 at June 30, 2014. The notes payable were paid in full during fiscal year 2015.

The University also has three notes payable to a financial institution due in aggregate monthly principal and interest payments of \$37,602 through December 2015. The notes bear interest at rates ranging form 4.69% to 7.85%. The aggregate outstanding balances were \$211,640 at June 30, 2015.

During fiscal year 2015, the University entered into a revolving line-of-credit with a bank which has a maximum available borrowing limit of \$3,500,000. Interest at LIBOR plus 1.25% (1.44% at June 30, 2015) on the outstanding balance is paid monthly. The line-of-credit matures April 30, 2016. The outstanding balance was \$2,500,000 at June 30, 2015.

The maturities of notes payable are as follows:

Year Ending June 30	<u>Amount</u>
2016	\$2,910,543
2017	214,280
2018	230,846
2019	248,693
2020	267,920
Thereafter	328,134
	<u>\$4,200,416</u>

G. NOTES PAYABLE AND OBLIGATIONS UNDER CAPITAL LEASE - Continued

Capital lease obligation

The University leases certain computer software with a cost of \$456,696 from a company. This lease has been recorded in the accounts of the University as a capital lease. Under the terms of the agreement, the obligation is due in monthly payments of principal and interest of \$13,560 which includes interest at a rate of 9.92%. The monthly payments are due starting July 2014 through the lease agreement expiration in July 2017.

Minimum lease commitments at June 30, 2015 under the above mentioned capital lease are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	<u>Amount</u>
2016	\$ 162,717
2017	162,717
2018	452
	325,886
Less: amounts representing interest	(14,412)
Present value of net minimum	
lease commitments	<u>\$ 311,474</u>

H. <u>BONDS PAYABLE</u>

Bonds payable at June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
Revenue bonds, Series 1998, bearing interest at a rate of 5.99%, paying interest semi-annually and maturing in varying annual principal installments through 2018.	\$1,750,000	\$2,130,000
Revenue bonds, Series 2000, bearing a variable interest rate, initially at 6% and currently at 3.25% and paying interest semi-annually and maturing in varying annual principal		
installments through 2021.	4,935,000	4,935,000
	<u>\$6,685,000</u>	<u>\$7,065,000</u>

H. <u>BONDS PAYABLE</u> - Continued

The maturities of bonds payable are as follows:

Year Ending June 30	<u>Amount</u>
2016	\$ 400,000
2017	425,000
2018	450,000
2019	475,000
2020	-
Thereafter	4,935,000
	<u>\$6,685,000</u>

1998 Bond Issue

The Series 1998 Revenue bonds were issued by the Health and Educational Facilities Board of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee. The proceeds were loaned to the University and used for construction and renovation of certain buildings of the University. Certain revenues, equipment, land, buildings and improvements of the University collateralize the 1998 bonds. The University is required to make monthly principal and interest payments to the trustee equal to one-sixth of the next semi-annual principal and interest payment. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, \$957,553 and \$948,927, respectively, were held by the bond trustee for the aforementioned payment, and were classified as restricted cash in the accompanying statement of financial position. The loan agreement contains various covenants. The University was not in compliance with certain covenants as of June 30, 2015, however the University has obtained appropriate waiver from the bond trustee.

2000 Bond Issue

The Series 2000 Revenue bonds were issued by the Health and Educational Facilities Board of the Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Tennessee. The proceeds were loaned to the University and used for construction and renovation of certain buildings of the University. The 2000 bonds are collateralized by a pledge of the University's revenues and certain land, buildings and improvements of the University. Monthly principal and interest payments are to be made to the bond trustee. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, the trustee held \$4,300 and \$9,496, respectively, as repayment for the bond obligations. The above funds are classified as restricted cash in the accompanying statement of financial position. The loan agreement contains various covenants. The University was not in compliance with certain covenants as of June 30, 2015, however the University has obtained appropriate waiver from the bond trustee.

I. <u>RETIREMENT PLAN</u>

The University sponsors a defined contribution retirement plan covering employees who meet certain eligibility requirements. The University's contributions to the plan are discretionary. The University made \$134,459 and \$142,725 in contributions to the plan during the years ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, respectively.

J. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The University is subject to various claims and legal actions, which arise in the ordinary course of business. In the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of such matters will not have a material adverse effect on the University's financial position or results of operations.

Federal and State Funds

All Federal and State funds received by the University are subject to audit by the applicable governmental agencies and they can assess liabilities against the University, limit, suspend or terminate the University's participation in the various programs. Audits of certain major Federal programs have indicated that the University may not have fully complied with certain regulations governing the administration of certain programs. The ultimate outcome of these matters is not known at this time. However, the University is in the process of responding to the Federal government and believes that the resultant liability or loss of funding, if any, would not be material to its ongoing operations.

Federal Perkins Loan Program

Funds provided by the United States government under the Federal Perkins loan program are loaned to qualified students based on financial need and may be re-loaned after collection. If the program had been liquidated, the potential liability under this program to the Federal government would be \$378,407 and \$395,601 as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Collective Bargaining Agreement

The University has a collective bargaining agreement covering certain of its full-time, regular clerical and technical employees.

K. <u>NET ASSETS AND NET ASSETS RELEASED FROM RESTRICTIONS</u>

Temporarily restricted net assets of \$3,283,866 and \$3,468,261 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, were available for instruction, research, institutional support and scholarships. Permanently restricted net assets of \$18,577,263 and \$17,927,239 at June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, consist of endowment funds whose income is to be used to fund scholarships, general educational support and the preservation of University art and its art gallery.

During the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, net assets of \$1,270,168 and \$2,154,900, respectively, were released from donor restrictions by incurring costs and expenses satisfying the restricted purposes or by occurrence of other events specified by donors. Substantially all temporarily restricted net assets released from restrictions in 2015 and 2014 were for operations, scholarships and grant activities.

L. <u>FUNCTIONAL ALLOCATION OF EXPENSES</u>

During the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the University allocated the cost of certain professional fees and the operation and maintenance of physical plant, including depreciation expense of \$1,874,717 and \$1,742,449, respectively, over the cost of providing instruction, research, academic support, institutional support and auxiliary enterprises as follows:

	2015	2014
Instruction	\$1,340,134	\$1,203,040
Research	870,217	781,195
Academic support	1,244,410	1,117,109
Student services	896,323	804,631
Institutional support	1,218,304	1,093,673
Auxiliary enterprises	3,132,780	2,812,302
Total operation and maintenance		
of physical plant	<u>\$8,702,168</u>	<u>\$7,811,950</u>

Interest expense totaling \$311,908 and \$325,486 during fiscal years 2015 and 2014, respectively, has been included and allocated in the above amounts.

M. FUNDRAISING AND ADVERTISING EXPENSES

During the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, the University incurred fundraising expenses by its development and alumni offices of \$1,518,881 and \$1,376,264, respectively.

The University also incurred advertising costs in the amounts of \$253,687 and \$214,361 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

N. <u>OPERATING LEASES</u>

The University leases certain equipment under non-cancelable operating leases which expire at various dates through December 2018. Rent expense under these lease arrangements amounted to \$56,099 and \$43,889 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Future minimum rental payments required under operating leases that have initial or remaining lease terms in excess of one year as of June 30, 2015, are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	<u>Amount</u>
2016	\$32,588
2017	32,589
2018	25,100
2019	9,519
	<u>\$99,796</u>

O. ENDOWMENT

The University's endowment consists of individual donor-restricted funds established for a variety of purposes. As required by GAAP, net assets associated with endowment funds are classified and reported based on the existence or absence of donor-imposed restrictions.

Interpretation of Relevant Law

The Board of Trustees of the University has interpreted the applicable state laws as requiring the preservation of the original gift as of the gift date of the donor-restricted endowment funds absent explicit donor stipulations to the contrary. As a result of this interpretation, the University classified as permanently restricted net assets (a) the original value of gifts donated to the permanent endowment, (b) the original value of subsequent gifts to the permanent endowment, and (c) accumulations to the permanent endowment made in accordance with the direction of the applicable donor gift instrument at the time the accumulation is added to the fund. The remaining portion of the donor-restricted endowment fund that is not classified in permanently restricted net assets is classified as temporarily restricted net assets until those amounts are appropriated for expenditure by the University in a manner consistent with the standard of prudence prescribed by applicable state laws. In accordance with applicable state laws, the University, considers the following factors in making a determination to appropriate or accumulate donor-restricted endowment funds:

- The duration and preservation of the fund
- The purposes of the University and the donor-restricted endowment fund
- General economic conditions
- The possible effect of inflation and deflation
- The expected total return from income and the appreciation of investments
- Other resources of the University
- The investment policies of the University

O. <u>ENDOWMENT</u>- Continued

Changes in Endowment Net Assets

	Temporarily <u>Restricted</u>	Permanently Restricted	<u>Total</u>
Endowment net assets, July 1, 2013	\$ 994,743	\$ 17,535,865	\$ 18,530,608
Investment return: Investment income Net appreciation (realized and unrealized) Total investment return Contributions	114,538 <u>798,339</u> <u>912,877</u> <u>-</u>	391,374	114,538 798,339 912,877 391,374
Appropriation of endowment assets for expenditure	(556,792)		(556,792)
Endowment net assets, June 30, 2014	1,350,828	17,927,239	19,278,067
Investment return: Investment income Net appreciation (realized and unrealized) Total investment return Contributions	295,457 202,937 498,394	650,024	295,457 202,937 498,394 650,024
Appropriation of endowment assets for expenditure	(981,677)		(981,677)
Endowment net assets, June 30, 2015	<u>\$ 867,545</u>	\$18,577,263	<u>\$ 19,444,808</u>

O. <u>ENDOWMENT</u>- Continued

Return Objectives and Risk Parameters

The University has adopted investment and spending policies for endowment assets that attempt to provide a predictable stream of funding to programs supported by its endowment while seeking to maintain the purchasing power of the endowment assets. Endowment assets include those assets of donor-restricted funds that the University must hold in perpetuity or for a donor-specified period(s). Under this policy, as approved by the Board of Trustees, the endowment assets are invested with the objective of outperforming the S&P 500 by 50 basis points per annum, net of fees, with comparable or lower risks. The University expects its endowment funds, over time, to provide an average rate of return of at least 4% above inflation. Actual returns in any given year may vary from this amount. At June 30, 2015 and 2014, endowment assets consist of investments in marketable securities and beneficial interests in trusts and endowments.

Strategies Employed for Achieving Objectives

To satisfy its long-term rate-of-return objectives, the University relies on a total return strategy in which investment returns are achieved through both capital appreciation (realized and unrealized) and current yield (interest and dividends). The University targets a diversified asset allocation that places a greater emphasis on equity-based investments to achieve its long-term return objectives within prudent risk constraints.

Spending Policy and How the Investment Objectives Relate to Spending Policy

The University's current policy of appropriating for distribution annually from its endowment funds is at the discretion of the Board of Trustees, based on each years' institutional objectives, not to exceed 6% of the previous 12-calendar quarter's average market values. In establishing this policy, the University considered the long-term expected return on its endowment. Accordingly, over the long-term, the University expects the current spending policy to allow its endowment to grow at an average rate of 1% to 3% annually. This is consistent with the University's objective to maintain the purchasing power of the endowment assets held in perpetuity or for a specified term as well as to provide additional real growth through new gifts and investment return.

P. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Required disclosures concerning the estimated fair value of financial instruments are presented below. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined based on the University's assessment of available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. The following table summarizes required fair value disclosures and measurements at June 30, 2015 and 2014:

			_		alue Measur porting Date	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Measured at Fair <u>Value</u>	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
<u>2015</u> :						
Assets: Investments in marketable securities: Certificates of deposit and money market						
funds Mutual bond and	\$ 533,709	\$ 533,709	\$ 533,709	\$ 533,709	\$ -	\$ -
stock funds:						
Equities	8,476,025				-	-
Fixed income Complementar	4,265,151	4,265,151	4,265,151	4,265,151	-	-
strategies	758,924	758,924	758,924	758,924	-	-
Real assets	897,392	897,392	897,392	897,392		
	14,931,201	14,931,201	14,931,201	14,931,201	-	-
Investments in						
art collections Real estate held	15,192,350	15,192,350	15,192,350	-	-	15,192,350
for investment Beneficial interest	593,550	593,550	593,550	-	-	593,550
in trusts and endowments	6,221,168	6,221,168	6,221,168	-	6,221,168	-
Liabilities:						
Notes payable	4,200,416	4,539,608	-	-	-	_
Bonds payable Capital lease	6,685,000	6,800,617	-	-	-	-
obligation	311,474	311,474	-	-	-	-

P. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS - Continued

					alue Measur porting Date	
			Measured	at Ito	porting Bate	051115
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	at Fair <u>Value</u>	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
<u>2014</u> :						
Assets: Investments in marketable securities: Certificates of deposit and money market						
funds Mutual bond and stock funds:	\$ 3,705,388	\$ 3,705,388	\$ 3,705,388	\$ 3,705,388	\$ -	\$ -
Equities	4,987,100	4,987,100	4,987,100	4,987,100	-	_
Fixed income	5,517,547	5,517,547				-
Complementa	ry					
strategies	740,875	740,875	740,875	740,875	-	-
Real assets	371,643	371,643	371,643	371,643		
	15,322,553	15,322,553	15,322,553	15,322,553	-	-
Investments in art collections Real estate held	8,048,495	8,048,495	8,048,495	-	-	8,048,495
for investment Beneficial interest in trusts and	593,550 ts	593,550	593,550	-	-	593,550
endowments	6,445,113	6,445,113	6,445,113	-	6,445,113	-
Liabilities:						
Notes payable	1,979,767	2,244,582	-	-	-	-
Bonds payable	7,065,000	7,233,503	-	-	-	-

P. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS - Continued

Changes in Level 3 assets for the year ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, are as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements Using Significant		
	<u>Unobservable In</u>	outs (Level 3)	
	Real Estate	Investment in	
	Held for Investments	Art Collections	
Balance as of June 30, 2013 Donor contributions	\$560,550 <u>33,000</u>	\$ 7,901,495 147,000	
Balance as of June 30, 2014 Unrealized gains	593,550	8,048,495 7,143,855	
Balance as of June 30, 2015	\$593,550	\$15,192,350	

P. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS - Continued

The following methods and assumptions were used to estimate the fair value of each class of financial instruments:

<u>Cash</u>, <u>cash</u> equivalents and <u>restricted cash</u>, <u>receivables</u>, <u>accounts payable and</u> <u>accrued expenses</u>, <u>deferred revenue and advances from the Federal government</u>

The carrying values of these items approximate their fair values due to the short maturities of these instruments.

<u>Investments in marketable securities</u>, art collections and real estate and beneficial interests in trusts and endowments

Fair values are based on quoted market prices, where available, and on certain Level 2 and 3 inputs. The carrying amounts and the fair values of the University's investments and beneficial interests in trusts and endowments are presented in Notes D and E, respectively.

Bank lines-of-credit, notes payable and bonds payable

For debt instruments with variable interest rates, the fair value approximates the carrying value. For fixed rate debt, fair value was estimated using discounted cash flow analyses based on the University's current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements.

Q. CONCENTRATION OF CREDIT RISK

Financial instruments which potentially subject the University to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and investments held by the University. Cash at June 30, 2015 and 2014, includes demand deposits at high quality financial institutions. The deposits possess credit risk to the extent they exceed federally insured limits; however, the University does not anticipate nonperformance by the various financial institutions and investees. The exposure to concentrations of credit risk relative to securities is dependent on the University's investment objectives and policies. An accounting risk also extends to receivables, net of allowances, which are uncollateralized.


R. <u>SCHOLARSHIPS AND FELLOWSHIPS</u>

Scholarships and fellowships provided for tuition and fees for the year ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of scholarships from institutional sources and funded sources from grants, endowments, and other sources as follows:

	2015	2014
Institutional Sources Funded Sources	\$ 7,932,175	\$5,121,734
Endowment and other sources Grants	2,342,328 1,181,799	2,004,275 1,032,505
Total	\$11,456,302	\$8,158,514

S. <u>SUBSEQUENT EVENTS</u>

The University has evaluated subsequent events through March 10, 2016, the issuance date of the University's financial statements, and have determined the are no subsequent events that require disclosure.



FISK UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Expenditures
Research and Development Cluster		
U.S. Department of Defense		
U.S. Army-Development of Optical Crystals U.S. Army-Multifunctional ZnO Nano Materials U.S. Army-Interfacial Polarization	12.630 12.630 12.630	\$ 148,128 128,840 80,681
Total U.S. Department of Defense		357,649
National Aeronautics and Space Administration		
California Institute of Technology - Silicon Photomultiplier - Based Research AirForce Clarkson - Sensors research Vanderbilt subcontract space grant-college and fellowship Total National Aeronautics and Space Administration National Science Foundation UC-Davis	43.001 43.001 43.001	20,003 58,293 10,106 88,402
Collaborative Research: ARI-MA I^3	47.041 47.041	70,655 15,982 89,337
Graduate Opportunities Career Grant - Improving Critical Pipelines Case Western University GO-FARR SusChEm	47.049 47.049 47.049 47.049 47.049	373,779 10,876 115,352 19,957 82,556 602,520
IRCN - ProNet: Americas Light Paths	47.070	45,095

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

FISK UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Expenditures
National Science Foundation - Continued		
TLSAMP - TN State University	47.076	9,677
CREST - Crystal Growth Energy	47.076	71,607
U-CPS	47.076	325,360
HBCU - UP Planning Grant	47.076	8,505
Research Initiation Award Grant	47.076	79,699
TIPS: Transform Computer Science Education	47.076	84,997
Implementation Award	47.076	235,277
Control of Dopamine Signaling	47.076	27,476
TIPS 2013: Biomath	47.076	109,143
		951,741
University of Tennessee - SCORE	47.081	96,068
Total National Science Foundation		1,784,761
U.S. Department of Energy		
BWXT - Y-12 - Cryogenic Neutron	81.113	95,483
Lawrence Livermore New Materials	81.113	238,111
Nuclear Science and Security Consortium	81.113	75,356
NNSA - Wake Forest - Quantifying Reconciliation	01.110	, , , , , ,
Dynamics in SRI2:EU2	81.113	123,064
2 y 1 w 1 1 2 1 2 0 2	01.110	532,014
FAMU Project #004655	81.123	29,218
Formation of University Laboratory	81.123	140,133
Massie - Chair of Excellence Professorship	81.123	96,525
T I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		265,876
Total U.S. Department of Energy		797,890
roun c.s. Beparament of Energy		
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		
High Light Output Scintillator Camera	93.113	66,948
University of Cincinnati - UT/PBT Chemicals		
Community Awareness	93.114	36,679
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services		103,627

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

FISK UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Expenditures
National Institute of Health		
MARC UG Student Training	93.859	125,420
Vanderbilt Biomedical Bridge	93.859	257,207
Total National Institute of Health		382,627
U.S. Department of Homeland Security		
System Approach to Cd ZnTe Material and		
Detector Development	97.077	229,240
Washington University in St. Louis	97.077	590,452
Collaborative Research	97.077	85,003
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security		904,695
Total Research and Development Cluster		4,419,651
*Student Financial Aid Cluster		
U.S. Department of Education		
Federal Supplemental Education Opportunity Grants	84.007	310,275
Federal Direct Loans	84.268	5,490,809
Federal Perkins Loans	84.038	1,515,989
Federal Work Study	84.033	235,395
Federal Pell Grants	84.063	1,923,900
TEACH Grants	84.379	1,760
Total U.S. Department of Education		9,478,128
Total Student Financial Aid Cluster		9,478,128

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

FISK UNIVERSITY SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Expenditures
Other Federal Programs		
U.S. Department of Education		
Special Education (IDEA) - State of Tennessee	84.027A	179,819
Title III Strengthening Historically Black Colleges and Universities Program (HBCU)	84.031B	1,763,647
Student Support Services (TRIO)	84.042A	233,152
HBCU Graduate	84.382G	405,497
Total U.S. Department of Education		2,582,115
Department of Verterans Affairs		
Post 9/11 GI Bill Chapter 33	64.028	174,867
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards		<u>\$16,654,761</u>

^{* -} denotes major program

FISK UNIVERSITY NOTES TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

A. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*, on the accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in OMB Circular A-21, *Cost Principles for Educational Institutions*, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

B. PERKINS LOANS (CFDA NO. 84.038)

The University administers the Perkins loan program. This loan program is part of the student financial aid program cluster for reporting purposes and related loan balances are reported in notes receivable, net, in the financial statements. The outstanding balance of Perkins loans at June 30, 2015, was:

	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Outstanding Balance
Federal Perkins Loans	84.038	\$1,515,989

C. FEDERAL DIRECT LOANS (CFDA NO. 84.268)

During the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015, the University processed the following amount of new loans under the Federal Direct Loans program (which includes subsidized and unsubsidized Stafford Loans, and Parents' Loans for Undergraduate Students):

	<u>CFDA Number</u>	<u>Disbursements</u>
Federal Direct Loans	84.268	\$5,490,809

D. <u>ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS AND MATCHING</u>

The University has received a waiver from the U.S. Department of Education and is not required to provide an institutional matching for certain Title IV programs.

The University is allowed to take up to 5% of campus-based programs as an administrative allowance. Administrative costs charged to Title IV programs by the University for the year ended June 30, 2015 totaled \$36,059.



Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

Members of the Audit Committee Board of Trustees Fisk University Nashville, Tennessee

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of Fisk University (the "University"), which comprise the statement of financial position as of June 30, 2015, and the related statements of activities, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 10, 2016.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the University's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of the internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the University's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the University's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Nashville, Tennessee

Crosslin + associates, P.C.

March 10, 2016



<u>Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program</u> and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by OMB Circular A-133

Members of the Audit Committee Board of Trustees Fisk University Nashville, Tennessee

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited Fisk University's (the "University") compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on the University's major federal program for the year ended June 30, 2015. The University's major federal program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.


Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for the University's major federal program based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the University's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for the major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the University's compliance.



Basis for Qualified Opinion on the Federal Student Financial Aid (SFA) Cluster

As described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the University did not comply with requirements regarding the SFA cluster as described in finding numbers CF-15-1 through CF-15-8 for eligibility, cash management, reporting, and special provisions. Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the University to comply with the requirements applicable to that program.

Qualified Opinion on the Federal Student Financial Aid (SFA) Cluster

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the "Basis for Qualified Opinion" paragraph, the University complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the SFA cluster for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Other Matters

The University's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The University's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the University is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the University's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University's internal control over compliance.



Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items CF-15-2 and CF-15-5 to be material weaknesses.

A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items CF-15-1, CF-15-4, and CF-15-6 through CF-15-8 to be significant deficiencies.

The University's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The University's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Nashville, Tennessee March 10, 2016

Crosslin + associates, P.C.

I. SUMMARY OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' RESULTS

<u>Financial Statements</u>				
Type of auditors' report issued:	<u>Unmodified</u>			
Internal control over financial reporting:				
Material weakness(es) identified?Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	Yes X No Yes X None Reported			
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	Yes <u>X</u> No			
Federal Awards				
Internal control over major programs:				
Material weakness(es) identified?Significant deficiency(ies) identified?	X Yes No X Yes None Reported			
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance for major programs:	Qualified			
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of Circular A-133?	X_YesNo			

Major Programs

CFDA Number	Grantor	Name of Federal Program	Amount Expended
SFA Cluster:			
84.063	Department	Pell Grants	\$1,923,900
84.038	of Education	Perkins Loans	1,515,989
84.033		Work Study	235,395
84.007		Supplemental Educational	
		Opportunity Grants	310,275
84.268		Direct Student Loans	5,490,809
84.379		TEACH Grants	1,760

34.379	TEACH Grants	1,760
Dollar threshold and type B pro	d used to distinguish between type A gram	\$499,643
Auditee qualifie	ed as low-risk auditee	Yes <u>X</u> No

T	T	CINI	(A)	IOI	A T	CTA	TEN	ALVII.	יות י	NDIN	CC
	Ι.	T. II N	/	NCI.	A I.	OIA		/	1,11	$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}$	(11)

None.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

ITEM # CF 15-1

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

The University must use at least 7% of its Federal Work Study program funds to compensate students employed in community service jobs.

Condition and Context

The University paid only 3% of the Federal Work Study compensation to students employed in community service jobs for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Questioned Cost

None

Cause

The above finding resulted from the student financial aid office not properly monitoring the amount of Federal Work Study funds paid for community service jobs.

Effect

The University did not meet the compliance requirement of the Federal Work Study program to compensate students employed in community service jobs.

Recommendation

We recommend the student financial aid office actively monitor throughout the year the percentage of Federal Work Study funds awarded and paid to students employed in community service jobs to ensure the University meets the federal requirement of 7%.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS

ITEM # CF 15-1

Management's Response

Fisk University is implementing new processes and policies related to the overall management and monitoring of the Federal Work Study and funds. Human Resources and Payroll will manage the overall Federal Work Study program. Financial Aid will oversee and monitor the proper allocation of funds and work with Human Resources and Payroll to identify and fill the proper percentage of Community Service jobs. In addition, Fisk University will utilize its new position management and recruiting system to track all work-study positions to ensure that community services jobs are identified, made available, and filled. Fisk University will fill the Community Service positions first and Financial Aid will monitor the allocation of funds to these positions to ensure we reach the 7% requirement. In addition, we will utilize our new automated timesheet system to monitor the hours performed. We will implement an automated alert/report after each payroll run that will be sent to Financial Aid showing the allocation of hours worked by position type. This will enable Financial Aid to better monitor the program throughout the year and ensure that we maintain the 7% allocation.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-2

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

The University is required to report amounts awarded and disbursed to students for Pell grants to the Department of Education within 15 days of disbursement.

Condition and Context

The University did not properly report the amount of Pell grants awarded within the 15 day requirement for six out of thirty-two students tested to the Department of Education through the Common Origination and Disbursement (COD) records.

Questioned Cost

None.

Cause

The University had a lack of oversight over reporting of Pell grants. The University did not properly report Pell grants awarded to students within the applicable time limits.

Effect

The University is not in compliance with reporting Pell grants awarded to students through the COD System on a timely basis.

Recommendation

We recommend the University implement a system to ensure amounts disbursed to students for Pell awards are timely reported to the Department of Education.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-2

Management's Response

Fisk University has employed a new Financial Aid Compliance analyst who will be responsible for the reporting the amount of Pell awarded to the Department of Education through the Common Origination and Disbursement records. In addition, Fisk University will utilize our new ERP system to send automated alerts to the Financial Aid Compliance Analyst each time a student's Pell Grant is awarded and disbursed. The Financial Aid Compliance analyst can then export the Pell reporting file and submit to COD multiple times per day minimizing the time delay between disbursing the Pell Award and reporting this to the Department of Education. The Financial Aid Compliance Analyst has the responsibility of executing the automated process for exporting of Pell grant files to the Department of Education through COD. Once the response file is loaded, an automated activity log and Pell Grant reconciliation report is generated by the Financial Aid module; this identifies the work completed and captures when files are sent for internal documentation and tracking.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-3

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

The University is required to report amounts awarded and disbursed to students for Direct loans to the Department of Education within 30 days of disbursement.

Condition and Context

The University did not properly report the amount of Direct loans awarded within the 30 day requirement for one out of thirty-seven students tested to the Department of Education through the Common Origination and Disbursement (COD) records.

Questioned Cost

None.

Cause

The University had a lack of oversight over reporting of Direct loans. The University did not properly report Direct loans awarded to students within the applicable time limits.

Effect

The University is not in compliance with reporting Direct loans awarded to students through the COD System on a timely basis.

Recommendation

We recommend the University implement a system to ensure amounts disbursed to students for Direct loan awards are timely reported to the Department of Education.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-3

Management's Response

Fisk University's new Financial Aid Compliance analyst will be responsible for the reporting the amount of Direct Loans awarded to the Department of Education through the Common Origination and Disbursement records. In addition, Fisk University will utilize our new ERP system to send automated alerts to the Financial Aid Compliance Analyst each time a student's Direct Loans are awarded and disbursed. The Financial Aid Compliance analyst can then export the Direct Loan reporting file and submit to COD multiple times per day minimizing the time delay between disbursing the Direct Loans and reporting this to the Department of Education

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-4

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

If a Federal Student Aid (FSA) disbursement creates a credit balance in a student's account, the University is required to pay the credit balance to the student or parent as soon as possible, but no later than 14 days from the date of the FSA disbursement, unless the student has signed a waiver of the 14 day requirement.

Condition and Context

Two of the six students tested that had credit balances did not receive their refunds within the required 14 day time frame. One student received their refund but after the 14 day time frame and one did not receive their full entitled refund for the Fall 2014 term. No waiver of this requirement was signed by the students.

Questioned Cost

\$2,276

Cause

The University did not issue the student refunds within the 14 day requirement.

Effect

The students did not receive their refund within the required time limits.

Recommendation

We recommended the University implement policies and procedures to identify student credit balances created by FSA disbursements and assure students receive the related refunds within the 14 day requirement or have a waiver signed by the student allowing the balance to remain on the account.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-4

Management's Response

Although the University has procedures in place to ensure students receive their refunds within the 14-day window, lack of proper documentation and system application caused delays in this student's refunds. The University has implemented a new ERP system that will automate the refund process for the 2015-2016 Academic Year. The student accounts module of the new ERP system generates automated refunds once financial aid is completed, the COD disbursement files added to the system, and the aid transferred to billing. Students then receive a system-generated email informing them that their checks are ready for pick-up.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-5

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

The University is required to properly complete and submit on a timely basis, the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participants (FISAP) which provides the U.S. Department of Education (DOE) with certain information regarding Title IV program funds.

Condition and Context

The University incorrectly reported certain information relating to the following sections of the fiscal 2015 FISAP:

Perkins Loan program Work-Study program Administrative cost allowance worksheet

Questioned Cost

None.

Cause

The above finding resulted from the University not properly understanding, compiling and reporting certain requested information in the FISAP.

Effect

The University incorrectly reported certain information in the fiscal 2015 FISAP.

Recommendation

We recommend the University implement policies and procedures related to the proper completion, review, approval, and submission of the FISAP in order to assure proper information is reported to the DOE.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-5

Management's Response

The Office of Student Financial Aid has subsequently corrected the 2015 FISAP with the DOE and has already implemented a process to obtain accurate information regarding tuition and fees as well as eligible-aid statistics.

In addition, Fisk University has set-up its new Financial Aid module to auto generate the FISAP report minimizing the amount of time required to compile the report and allowing more time for review of the report prior to submission.

Finally, there will be two separate reviews of the completed FISAP report and the supporting data. Once the FISAP is completed, the Director of Student Financial Aid will conduct a preliminary review. There will be a secondary and final review made by the Controller. The University will only submit the FISAP to the Department of Education after these reviews occur.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-6

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

A student enrolled less than half time is not eligible to receive Federal Direct loans.

Condition and Context

One of thirty-seven students selected for testing received Federal Direct Loans when they were not eligible to receive such loans since they were enrolled less than half time.

Questioned Cost

Known questioned cost totaled \$13,744.

Cause

The above finding resulted primarily due to the student financial aid office not properly reviewing the enrollment status of the student before the award was made by the University.

Effect

The University was not in compliance with Federal Direct Loan program award requirements.

Recommendation

We recommend the University establish procedures to ensure information regarding students' enrollment status is reviewed prior to awarding loans. These steps should help to ensure the loan awards are not made by the University to ineligible students.

Management's Response

With the Student modules for the new ERP system, the Office of Financial Aid staff will utilize the reporting capabilities to identify incorrect or over awarding of Federal Direct Loans. Once packaged in the ERP system, a report is generated identifying any students whose current enrollment status and the corresponding loan amounts are not in compliance. Financial Aid reviews these student's records, confirms enrollment status with the registrar, and makes any necessary corrections prior to submission to COD for disbursement. This higher level of vigilance will reduce the threat of obtaining inappropriate federal loan funds.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-7

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

For students receiving Pell grants, the University should disburse the entire amount of each student's award.

Condition and Context

For two out of thirty-two students tested, the University did not disburse the full amounts of the Pell grant awards.

Questioned Cost

None.

Cause

The University had a lack of oversight over the disbursement of Pell grant funds.

Effect

The University did not disburse the full amount of the Pell awards to these students.

Recommendation

We recommend the University implement a system to ensure that the full amount of each student's Pell awards is disbursed to the student.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-7

Management's Response

Fisk University's new Financial Aid Compliance analyst will be responsible for the reporting the amount of Pell awarded to the Department of Education through the Common Origination and Disbursement records. In addition, Fisk University will utilize our new ERP system to send automated alerts to the Financial Aid Compliance Analyst each time a student's Pell Grant is awarded and disbursed. The Financial Aid Compliance analyst can then export the Pell reporting file and submit to COD multiple times per day minimizing the time delay between disbursing the Pell Award and reporting this to the Department of Education. The Financial Aid Compliance Analyst has the responsibility of executing the automated process for exporting of Pell grant files to the Department of Education through COD. Once the response file is loaded, an automated activity log and Pell Grant reconciliation report is generated by the Financial Aid module; this identifies the work completed and captures when files are sent for internal documentation and tracking.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-8

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

Adequate timesheets or records of hours worked must be maintained for Federal Work Study students. These timesheets must show the hours a student worked, and the total hours worked during the job's payment cycle, and be certified by the student's supervisor. These amounts and hours recorded must match the hours for which the student is paid.

Condition and Context

Three of twelve students selected for testing worked and were paid Work Study funds for more hours than were awarded to the students.

Questioned Cost

Known questioned cost totaled \$1,242.

Cause

The above finding resulted primarily from personnel not properly reviewing the hours worked and amounts paid against the student's award amount.

Effect

The students were paid more than awarded under the Work Study program for the semester.

Recommendation

We recommend the University establish procedures to ensure that students in the Work Study program are not paid more than the amounts they are awarded under the program.

III. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR FEDERAL AWARDS - Continued

ITEM # CF 15-8

Management's Response

Fisk University is implementing new processes and policies related to the overall management and monitoring of the Federal Work Study and funds. Human Resources and Payroll will manage the overall Federal Work Study program. Financial Aid will oversee and monitor the proper allocation of funds and work with Human Resources. In addition, we will utilize our new automated timesheet system to monitor the hours performed. We will implement an automated alert/report after each payroll run that will be sent to Financial Aid showing the allocation of hours worked. This will enable Financial Aid to better monitor the program throughout the year and ensure that students do not exceed the awarded hours.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

ITEM # IC 14-1

GRANT AND CONTRACT ACCOUNTING

Criteria, Condition, Context, Cause and Effect

During our audit procedures, we encountered certain errors in the University's schedule of expenditures of Federal awards and related internal grant rollforward schedule. The primary reason for these errors appears to be a lack of consistent reconciliation and review of grant data input into Banner with the grant agreements and underlying reports supporting actual grant transaction activity and terms of grants (grant expenditures and billings). We also discovered several grants had not been submitted for reimbursement, resulting in large receivable balances at year-end. Contributing to this deficiency was turnover in the grants coordinator position during the year. The errors were ultimately resolved and corrected by the University during the audit process.

Recommendation and Benefit

We recommend that the University continue to evaluate and improve the accounting processes for reconciliation and review of grant activity and the underlying support, and assure personnel have adequate training on Banner to ensure grant activity is monitored and accurately recorded and reported. We also recommend reimbursements are requested on a timely basis, i.e. monthly or quarterly. Procedures should also be in place for the evaluation by management of the collectability and validity of grants receivable and deferred amounts on a periodic basis (ie: monthly or quarterly).

<u>Status</u>

This finding has been resolved and is not a finding for the year ended June 30, 2015.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - Continued

ITEM # CF 14-1

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

A student receiving a Federal Direct loan who is classified as a dependent undergraduate student has an annual loan limit for unsubsidized loans of \$2,000.

Condition and Context

One of thirty-six students selected for testing received Federal Direct Loans in excess of federal annual limits.

Questioned Cost

Known questioned cost totaled \$3,200.

Cause

The above finding resulted primarily due to the student financial aid office not properly reviewing annual award amounts.

Effect

The University was not in compliance with Federal Direct Loan program award limits.

Recommendation

We recommend the University establish procedures to ensure information regarding students' annual loan amounts is reviewed prior to awarding loans. These steps should help to ensure the loan award limits are not exceeded by the University.

<u>Status</u>

This was not a finding for the year ended June 30, 2015.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - Continued

ITEM # CF 14-2

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

The University is required to report amounts awarded and disbursed to students for Pell grants to the Department of Education within 15 days of disbursement.

Condition and Context

The University did not properly report the amount of Pell awarded within the 15 day requirement for seventeen out of twenty-three students tested to the Department of Education through the Common Origination and Disbursement (COD) records.

Questioned Cost

None.

Cause

The University had a lack of oversight over reporting of Pell grants. The University did not properly report Pell grants awarded to students within the applicable time limits.

Effect

The University is not in compliance with reporting Pell grants awarded to students through the COD System on a timely basis.

Recommendation

We recommend the University implement a system to ensure amounts disbursed to students for Pell awards are timely reported to the Department of Education.

<u>Status</u>

In the testing of this program for fiscal year 2015, this type of finding has recurred and a similar finding has been reported. See Item #CF 15-2.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - Continued

ITEM # CF 14-3

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

A student's total aid cannot exceed their estimated need. Need is calculated as a student's cost of attendance less their estimated family contribution less other aid.

Condition and Context

For one of forty students tested, the amount of financial assistance received exceeded their financial need resulting in an over award.

Questioned Cost

\$885

Cause

The University did not properly review federal financial assistance for over awards.

Effect

One student received federal aid in excess of their need.

Recommendation

We recommend the University implement review procedures and adhere to those procedures to ensure students do not receive financial assistance in excess of their need. If an over award is detected, federal assistance should be adjusted to reduce aid below or equal to a student's calculated need.

Status

This was not a finding for the year ended June 30, 2015.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - Continued

ITEM # CF 14-4

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

If a Federal Student Aid (FSA) disbursement creates a credit balance in a student's account, the University is required to pay the credit balance to the student or parent as soon as possible, but no later than 14 days from the date of the FSA disbursement, unless the student has signed a waiver of the 14 day requirement.

Condition and Context

One of the twenty-four students tested did not receive their refunds within the required 14 day time frame. The student did not receive their refund for the Spring 2014 term and did not receive their full entitled refund for the Fall 2013 term. No waiver of this requirement was signed by the student.

Questioned Cost

\$2,950

Cause

The University did not issue the student refunds within the 14 day requirement.

Effect

The student did not receive their refund within the required time limits.

Recommendation

We recommended the University implement policies and procedures to identify student credit balances created by FSA disbursements and assure students receive the related refunds within the 14 day requirement or have a waiver signed by the student allowing the balance to remain on the account.

Status

In the testing of this program for fiscal year 2015, this type of finding has recurred and a similar finding has been reported. See Item #CF 15-4.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - Continued

ITEM # CF 14-5

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

The University is required to properly complete and submit on a timely basis, the Fiscal Operations Report and Application to Participants (FISAP) which provides the U.S. Department of Education (DOE) with certain information regarding Title IV program funds.

Condition and Context

The University incorrectly reported certain information relating to tuition and fees and eligible aid statistics in the fiscal year 2013 FISAP.

Questioned Cost

None.

Cause

The above finding resulted from the University not properly understanding, compiling and reporting certain requested information in the FISAP.

Effect

The University incorrectly reported certain information in the fiscal year 2013 FISAP.

Recommendation

We recommend the University implement policies and procedures related to the proper completion, review, approval, and submission of the FISAP in order to assure proper information is reported to the DOE.

<u>Status</u>

In the testing of this program for fiscal year 2015, this type of finding has recurred and a similar finding has bee reported. See Item #CF 15-5.

PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - Continued

ITEM # CF 14-6

Federal Student Aid Cluster CFDA No. 84.007, 84.033, 84.038, 84.063, 84.268, 84.379 U.S. Department of Education

Criteria

When a student's Institutional Student Information Record (ISIR) is selected for verification, the University is required to obtain evidence of and maintain support for a student's information reported in their ISIR.

Condition and Context

The University did not retain verification information for one of twenty-eight students tested.

Questioned Cost

None.

Cause

The above finding resulted from the University not properly retaining verification information.

Effect

The University was not able to provide documentation for a student requiring verification.

Recommendation

We recommend the University implement policies and procedures related to the proper retention of records for students selected for verification.

Status

This was not a finding for the year ended June 30, 2015.